

ARTICLE

Genealogy and genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars

Pâmella Laysa de Moura Cruz¹, Francisco José Correia Farias², lêda Ferreira de Oliveira¹ and Edson Ferreira da Silva^{1*}

Abstract: Cotton is an essential worldwide commodity, and Brazil ranks fourth in world production and second in export. The present work aimed to gather the genealogies and estimate the genetic base of 109 Brazilian cotton cultivars released from 1932 to 2021. Twelve of the 68 ancestors identified contributed with 52.03% of the genes, and 33% of the cultivars resulted from the direct selection from landraces or old cultivars, evidencing a narrow genetic base. Over time, especially after 1990, the increases in the number of cultivars released and number of ancestors added to the breeding programs were driven by the need to reduce the cultivars' cycle for coexistence with pests and to make them suitable for mechanized cultivation in the new agricultural frontiers. However, Brazilian cotton cultivars still have a narrow genetic base, with the important participation of the ancestors CNPA SRI3, CKR 100W, C-77 and Express.

Keywords: Pedigree, cotton genitors, genetic diversity, coefficient of parentage

INTRODUCTION

Cotton is one of the main commodities in the world, and Brazil stands out in world production (ICAC 2022). In the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 crop seasons, the average Brazilian production was 6.658 million tons (ABRAPA 2022), of which around 17.5% were destined for export, mainly to China (Coêlho 2021). Regarding yield, the average in the same period was 3.597 kg/ ha (ABRAPA 2022). However, there are Brazilian cultivars with a yield potential above 4.000 kg/ha (Suassuna et al. 2020).

Until the 1980 decade, the cultivation of semi-perennial cotton cultivars predominated in Brazil, mainly in the semi-arid regions of the Northeast and North of Minas Gerais State (AMIPA 2022), basically without agricultural mechanization. However, due to the introduction of the pest boll weevil (*Anthonomus grandis* B.) in Brazil in the mentioned decade, the cultivation of semi-perennial cultivars became unfeasible, mainly because it was difficult to interrupt the pest's cycle. Besides that, they were unsuitable for mechanized cultivation.

Therefore, releasing annual short-cycle cultivars suitable for mechanized cultivation boosted the displacement of cotton cultivation from the Northeast to São Paulo and Paraná States. Subsequently, due to the increase in the prices of arable land in the States of São Paulo and Paraná, and competition from other crops, Brazilian cotton cultivation reached the Cerrado, where over 80% of Brazilian cotton is currently produced, mainly in the Mato Grosso and Goiás

Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 23(2): e43922322, 2023 Brazilian Society of Plant Breeding. Printed in Brazil http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1984-70332023v23n2a14



*Corresponding author: E-mail: silvaedsonf@hotmail.com DRCID: 0000-0001-6071-4011

Received: 01 November 2022 Accepted: 25 February 2023 Published: 24 May 2023

 ¹ Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Rua Dom Manuel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos, 52171-900, Recife, PE, Brazil
² Embrapa Algodão, Rua Osvaldo Cruz, 1143, Centenário, 58428-095, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil

PLM Cruz et al.

States. In this context, genetic breeding has contributed to the development of cultivars suitable to new agricultural frontiers and with tolerance to pests and diseases, enabling the coexistence with phytosanitary problems, increasing yield and quality of the fiber (AMIPA 2022).

The genetic improvement of cotton in Brazil began in 1924 at Campinas Agronomic Institute (IAC), initially aiming to develop high-yielding cultivars. However, with the emergence of *Fusarium* wilt in cotton plantations in São Paulo State in 1957, the program began to emphasize the development of resistant cultivars to this disease (Cavaleri *et al.* 1967). Since then, other cotton breeding programs have been created in institutions such as the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (EMBRAPA), Paraná Agronomic Institute (IAPAR), and Minas Gerais Agricultural Research Corporation (EPAMIG). In 1997, the Law no. 9.456, which instituted the Brazilian Plant Variety Protection Act, attracted private companies, such as Deltapine Land, Stoneville International, Bayer Seeds and Syngenta, to establish cotton breeding programs. In addition to conducting studies with cotton genetic breeding, the Monsanto Company acquired Deltapine and MDM cotton seeds, and Mato Grosso Cotton Institute (IMA Mt) acquired the cotton program from Coodetec and LD Melhoramento (Vidal Neto and Freire 2013).

Despite the genetic gains in Brazilian cotton breeding programs, a study on the genetic base with all cultivars and their genealogies had not yet been carried out. According to Silva et al. (1999), information about genealogies is often published in restricted circulation documents or even not published, hence being inaccessible to breeders. Pedigree information can be used to evaluate the contribution of various genetic pools to current cultivars (Bowman et al. 1996) and to monitor changes in genetic vulnerability over time (Van Esbroeck et al. 1999). In the United States, concerns with cotton genetic base have been reported since the end of the twentieth century (Bowman et al. 1996). Van Esbroeck and Bowman (1998) and Zenh (2014) showed narrowing genetic bases in US cotton cultivars, with consequent restriction for improvement of lint yield and fiber qualities in the breeding programs. In Pakistan, Saleem et al. (2020) also observed a very narrow genetic base when studying 44 in-use cotton cultivars assigned to continuous breeding among varieties of the same origin. According to Gingle et al. (2006), the strong pressure of selection to high yield and earliness was the principal cause of the genetic narrowing in cotton cultivars that exposes vulnerability risk around the world.

Until now, no study involving the genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars released over time has been conducted. Bertini et al. (2005), assessing the genetic diversity of 30 Brazilian cotton cultivars by microsatellites and pedigree, concluded that cultivars descended from few parents and suggested the introduction of new alleles into their gene pool. Due to the importance of genetic diversity and wide genetic base to the breeding programs, the present work aimed to gather the genealogies and quantify the genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars released commercially from 1932 to 2021.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Commercial cotton cultivars developed in Brazil from 1932 to 2021 were listed (Table S1). The genealogies of each cultivar were elaborated by looking as far as possible for the oldest ancestors that were found. For that, several bibliographies were examined, such as: Cavaleri and Gridi-Papp (1993), Bertini et al. (2006), Bowman et al. (2006), and Carvalho (2008), folders of cultivar release, information from websites of institutions responsible for cultivars development and unpublished documents. Most cultivars developed by private companies were not included in the pedigrees because they do not provide genealogies or records of crosses.

The genitors were structured in pedigree charts, following conventional and international standards, keeping the female parents on the left and the male ones on the right of their offspring. This notation maintains the identifications of female ancestors, which transmit the cytoplasmic genes. Backcrosses were represented by the symbol "*" followed by the Arabic number corresponding to the number of times it was used as a recurrent parent. Genotypes and cultivars from mutation or direct selection were designated by vertical stroke (|).

The Malécot's Coefficient of Parentage (COP) was used to estimate each cultivar's relative genetic contribution (RGC), which was classified in decreasing order of values. The accumulated genetic contribution (AGC) was estimated from the successive sum of the ancestral RGCs. The frequency of each ancestor in the pedigree (FAP) was estimated considering the number of cultivars with a certain ancestor in their genealogy relative to the total number of cultivars analyzed. The number of ancestors that constitute each cultivar (NAC) and the average number of ancestors per cultivar (ANAC) were estimated. These parameters were also obtained for the released cultivars subdivided into three periods (1932-1962,

1963-1992 and 1993-2021). In addition, the number of ancestors corresponded to 50% to 90% of the genetic base (NAGB) and the relation between the number of ancestors and the number of cultivars (NA/NC) per period were computed. The methodology described here was performed according to Wysmierski and Vello (2013) and Rabelo et al. (2015).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two hundred thirty-six Brazilian cotton cultivars released from 1932 to 2021 were identified (Table S1). In the three periods analyzed (1932-1962, 1963-1992 and 1993-2021), 13, 28 and 195 cultivars were developed, respectively, of which 69 cultivars released from 1993 to 2021 were transgenic. The pedigrees shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3 summarize the origin of 109 cotton cultivars released in Brazil and the origin of elite genotypes used in breeding programs in the mentioned period. The other 127 cultivars, mainly those developed by private companies, were not included in the figures or in the genetic base estimated because their genealogies or records of crosses were not published or were unavailable. The disposition of female parents on the left side of the pedigrees permits the identification of the origin of cytoplasmic genes transmitted only by them. It should be highlighted that until the 1970 decade, there were no major concerns in registering or publishing the pedigrees of the developed cultivars by breeding programs. After the epidemic caused by the fungus Helminthosporium maydis on corn in the United States in 1970, vulnerability arising from the narrow genetic base of crops became a concern (Nass 2001). Nowadays, restrictions on such publications occur in private companies for reasons of economic competition.

Pedigrees are very important in plant breeding because they permit estimating the genetic distance among cultivars and evaluating the contribution of various gene pools to the current cultivars (Bowman et al. 1996), to monitor changes in genetic vulnerability over time (Van Esbroeck and Bowman 1998) and also over changes in the genetic makeup of commercial cultivars (Bowman and Gutiérrez 2003). Pedigrees also permit the identification of genetically dissimilar parents and thus have the potential to generate new variability for genetic breeding and also to avoid or minimize crosses which contribute to the narrowing of the genetic base.



Figure 1. Pedigree of Brazilian cotton cultivars released commercially from 1932 to 2021. Boxes filled in green correspond to cultivars, names in bold correspond to ancestors and indicate continuation of the genealogy in the same figure.

PLM Cruz et al.

In the development of the 109 cultivars analyzed, 68 different ancestors were used (Table 1). The ancestors CNPA SRI3 and CKR 100W had the highest relative genetic contribution (RGC), 10.43% and 6.70%, respectively. The accumulated genetic contribution (AGC) showed that only the first 12 ancestors contributed with 52.03% of the genome (Table 1).



Figure 2. Pedigree of Brazilian cotton cultivars released commercially from 1932 to 2021. Boxes filled in green correspond to cultivars, names in bold correspond to ancestors and indicate continuation of the genealogy in the same figure.



Figure 3. Pedigree of Brazilian cotton cultivars released commercially from 1932 to 2021. Boxes filled in green correspond to cultivars, names in bold correspond to ancestors and indicate continuation of the genealogy in the same figure.

Table 1. Relative genetic contribution (RGC), accumulated genetic contribution (AGC) and frequency of each ancestor in the pedigre	e
(FAP) of Brazilian cotton cultivars released from 1932 to 2021	

Ancestors	RGC (%)	AGC (%)	FAP (%)	Ancestors	RGC (%)	AGC (%)	FAP (%)
CNPA SRI3	10.00	10.00	11.92	Delta Pineland	1.00	82.71	0.91
CKR 100 W	6.70	16.70	22.93	D&PLD	1.00 83		30.27
C-74	4.60	21.30	4.58	5242 A	1.00	84.71	30.27
Express	4.60	25.90	33.90	2128 R	1.00	85.71	30.27
GH-11-975	4.40	30.30	7.33	Bightmasterx39-11-20	0.83	86.54	14.67
Stoneville 2B	4.02	34.32	10.09	KA 4808-5(1&2) D	0.83	87.37	14.67
Jonh Cotton Polycross	3.60	37.92	30.27	Mataco	0.70	88.07	3.66
FOX	3.60	41.52	30.27	Guazuncho	0.70	88.77	3.66
Deltapine	3.00	44.52	6.42	DP 6100 Acala	0.50	89.27	3.66
Texas Bigboll	2.80	47.32	2.75	SU 0450	0.50	89.77	1.83
ISA 20	2.30	49.62	3.66	Nu - H04	0.50	90.27	1.83
Sel. Lone Star	2.41	52.03	23.85	E 43	0.50	90.77	0.91
IAC-20-RR-740	2.06	54.09	2.75	Reba Tk/1	0.50	91.27	0.91
Sicala33	2.00	56.09	8.25	NU-16	0.50	91.77	0.91
8 to Acala Lines	2.00	58.09	30.27	AFC 65-5236	0.50	92.27	0.91
AXTE	2.00	60.09	30.27	CHACO 110	0.50	92.77	0.91
Foster 120	2.00	62.09	3.66	8909	0.50	93.27	1.83
KA 4808-5(1&2) A X PM54-M-105-3	1.64	63.73	14.67	OC 92 – 165	0.50	93.77	0.91
DP 62 X CSIRO	1.44	65.17	7.33	Sicala	0.50	94.27	0.91
C-80-18-80	1.40	66.57	2.75	Suregrow 31	0.50	94.77	0.91
Acala Del Cerro	1.40	67.97	1.83	Sicala VI	0.50	95.27	0.91
Acala 1517-70	1.30	69.27	9.17	S 6026	0.50		0.91
CHACO 520	1.25	70.52	4.58	Island 552	552 050		0.91
Sicala 34	1.15	71.67	2.75	PR 3060/87	3060/87 0.50		0.91
Georgia King	1.04	72.71	4.58	Yucatanense	Yucatanense 0.50		1.83
Sel. Beat- All	1.00	73.71	23.85	AC 239 0.40		97.67	8.25
C-100-7-81	1.00	74.71	1.83	JA 348	0.40	98.07	8.25
D3 – 79	1.00	75.71	1.83	Stoneville 7 0.30		98.37	7.33
S 295	1.00	76.71	2.75	Sure Grow 125	0.30	98.67	2.75
DPL	1.00	77.71	0.91	P 288	0.23	98.90	0.91
Stoneville B-1439	1.00	78.71	1.83	CNPA 86-387	0.23	99.13	0.91
Allen 50T	1.00	79.71	1.83	Cook 312 RF	0.20	99.33	3.66
Allen 333 - 154 -t	1.00	80.71	1.83	Arkansas Green	0.06	99.39	0.91
Acala	1.00	81.71	0.91	Donor RR	0.03	99.42	2.75

These values indicate that the genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars is narrow, as reported by Bertini et al. (2005) when studied 30 in-use cultivars. Narrow genetic base in cotton cultivars has also been reported in USA (Zenh 2014) and Pakistan (Saleem et al. 2020). In all these cases, the authors warned that narrowing the genetic base can hinder the genetic gain for traits of economic importance and expose the crops to the risk of vulnerability to pests and diseases. Regarding the frequency of ancestors in the pedigree (FAP), it was verified that the Express ancestor was the most frequent, participating in 33.90% of the cultivars, while Jonh Cotton Polycross, FOX, 8 to Acala Lines, AXTE, D&PLD, 5242 A and 2128 R reached 30.27%. Therefore, these mentioned ancestors should not be used as parents in breeding programs that want to widen the genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars.

The number of ancestors per cultivar (NAC) ranged from 1 to 15, and the average number of ancestors per cultivar (ANAC) ranged from 1.25 to 6.48 in the periods analyzed (Table 3). The greatest NAC was found in BRS 336. This cultivar was originated from a tri-parental cross of cotton cultivars: Chaco 520, BRS Itaúba, and Delta Opal. Chaco 520 is an early plant with good fiber quality, and BRS Itaúba also has good fiber and resistance to the main diseases which occur in Brazil. The ancestor Delta Opal is used for overall plant conformation and yield (Morello et al. 2012). Notably, 33

PLM Cruz et al.

Table 2. Relative genetic contribution (RGC), accumulated genetic contribution (AGC) and percentage frequency of each ancestor in the pedigree (FAP) for the main ancestors of Brazilian cotton cultivars released in the three periods (1932-1962, 1963-1992, 1993-2021)

Perior 1932-1962J.S.3.S.J.3.3.S.J.3.3.ATCZ.S.0.Z.S.0.S.S.0.J.S.0. <th>Ancestors</th> <th>RGC (%)</th> <th>AGC (%)</th> <th>FAP (%)</th> <th>8 to Acala Lines</th> <th>2.60</th> <th>48.48</th> <th>43.24</th>	Ancestors	RGC (%)	AGC (%)	FAP (%)	8 to Acala Lines	2.60	48.48	43.24
Express33.3333.3333.33AXTE5.0053.235.3.245.3.247.3.24Texas Bigboll56.3058.3356.30KA808.5(18.2) A X PM54.41.053.055.829.5.825.5.82	Period 1932-1962				Acala 1517-70	2.54	51.02	14.86
Texas Bigboll25.0052.0052.0052.0052.02<	Express	33.33	33.33	33.33	AXTE	2.50	53.52	43.24
Shonewille 2B16.6775.0025.00Acala Del Cerro2.0257.842.70Foster 1208.3391.668.33DF 62 X CSIRO2.026.1.881.0.81CKR 100W4.1695.828.33Stonewille 2B1.906.3.788.10Sel. Lene Star3.5095.998.33CHACO S2O1.846.5.626.75Period 1963-199258.33Cergia King1.536.7.157.1357.135C7417.3917.397.30C100-781.1.4068.552.70CR 100 W13.4439.13D3 - 791.407.1551.35Sel Lone Star1.4141.8439.13D211.407.1551.35Sel Lone Star1.4141.8439.13D211.407.551.35Sel Lone Star4.355.9051.39Acala1.407.551.35Sel Lone Star4.355.9258.69C741.407.694.34Sel Lone Star4.355.9258.69D8/LD1.217.824.34Sonewille B-14394.357.2308.69Express1.218.134.34Sonewille B-14394.357.2308.69Express1.218.164.34Sonewille B-14394.357.2308.69Express1.218.164.34Sonewille B-14394.356.758.69Sel Lone Star1.218.168.16 <td< td=""><td>Texas Bigboll</td><td>25.00</td><td>58.33</td><td>25.00</td><td>KA 4808-5(1&2) A X PM54-M-105-3</td><td>2.30</td><td>55.82</td><td>22.97</td></td<>	Texas Bigboll	25.00	58.33	25.00	KA 4808-5(1&2) A X PM54-M-105-3	2.30	55.82	22.97
Foster 1208.338.3316.67C.80-18-802.0259.864.05Delta Pineland8.3391.668.33DP 6.2X CSIRO2.0261.8410.81Delta Pineland95.208.33Stonewille 2.031.906.5.788.10Sel. Beat-All0.5296.348.33CHACO 5201.8465.626.75Sel. Lone Star9.5296.348.33CHACO 5201.4065.654.05Cr7417.3917.39C.100-7811.4069.952.70CKR 100W13.049.130.791.407.1551.35Sel. Lone Star1.4141.439.130.791.407.1551.35Delta pine8.755.951.39Acala1.407.551.35Sch 11.19754.3554.904.34Island 5521.407.551.35Allen S0T4.3567.958.69Der 1251.2179.424.324Allen S0T4.3567.958.69Der 1251.218.634.34Stonewille 2.84.357.238.69Der 1251.358.352.097CNPA SN32.177.824.341218.632.0973.34Stonewille 2.84.341.343.44Biptmasterx39-11-201.158.632.097Star A1/12.178.534.34Biptmasterx39-11-201.158.632.097CNPA SN32.178.53 </td <td>Stoneville 2B</td> <td>16.67</td> <td>75.00</td> <td>25.00</td> <td>Acala Del Cerro</td> <td>2.02</td> <td>57.84</td> <td>2.70</td>	Stoneville 2B	16.67	75.00	25.00	Acala Del Cerro	2.02	57.84	2.70
Delta Pineland8.339.1668.33DP 62 X CSIRO2.026.18810.01CKR 100W4.169528.33Stonewile 2B1.946.3788.10Sel. beat-All0.529.6348.33CHACO 5201.846.526.75Sel. beat-All3.659.998.33CHACO 5201.636.756.75Period 1963-1992VYSel. 501.006.9592.70C.741.73917.397.79C.100-7-811.406.9592.70Sel. Lone Star1.4148.1439.130DPL1.407.1551.35Sel. Lone Star1.4144.849.130DPL1.407.551.35Stonewille F14394.555.9551.39Acala1.407.551.35Stonewille F14394.355.9528.69C.741.407.551.35Allen 5074.357.2038.69De/LD1.217.844.34Stonewille F14394.357.2038.69De/LD1.218.634.34Stonewille F1434.357.658.69De/LD1.218.634.34Stonewille F1434.357.658.69De/LD1.518.534.34Stonewille F1434.357.658.69De/LD1.518.534.34Stonewille F1434.341.341.344.345.344.345.355.35Stonewille F143<	Foster 120	8.33	83.33	16.67	C-80-18-80	2.02	59.86	4.05
CKR 100W4.1695.828.33Stoneville 2B1.906.3.788.10Sel. Leat- All0.5296.348.33CHACO 5201.6465.626.75Sel. Lone Star9.659.638.33Georgia King1.536.71.56.75Period 1963-19925.2951.4068.554.05C.741.7.3917.3917.390.100-7.811.407.951.30C.81 00 W13.0430.33901.407.551.35Deltapine1.4141.8439.130.741.407.551.35Schoneville D-14394.3559.258.69C-741.407.651.35Allen 533 - 154-44.3559.258.69C-741.407.651.35Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.267.8214.324Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.218.064.324Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.218.054.324Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.518.202.097Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.518.202.097Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.518.202.097Stoneville 2B4.357.558.69Styre Grow 1251.558.69Styre Grow 125 <td>Delta Pineland</td> <td>8.33</td> <td>91.66</td> <td>8.33</td> <td>DP 62 X CSIRO</td> <td>2.02</td> <td>61.88</td> <td>10.81</td>	Delta Pineland	8.33	91.66	8.33	DP 62 X CSIRO	2.02	61.88	10.81
Sel. Beat- All0.529.6348.33CHACO 5201.846.56.26.75Sel. Long Star3.909.998.33Gengla King1.336.716.75Period 1963-199252951.406.95.52.70C7417.3017.3917.39C.100-7811.406.9.52.70CR8 100 W13.0430.4339.13Da -791.407.151.35Bel-tone Star1.144.8439.13DPL1.407.551.35Deltapine4.355.9.51.39Acala1.407.551.35Beltapine4.355.9.58.69C.741.407.551.35Allen 5331.544.356.758.69Depto1.217.9424.34Allen 33.154-t4.356.758.69Depto1.218.634.34Stoneville 284.357.658.69Depto1.218.644.34Feba T204.357.658.69Depto1.218.632.07CNPA SR32.178.534.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.158.422.07CNPA SR32.178.314.34Scala 341.148.342.02CNPA SR32.178.534.34Scala 340.148.352.07CNPA SR32.178.534.34Scala 340.148.362.70CNPA SR32.178.534.34Scala 340.14	CKR 100W	4.16	95.82	8.33	Stoneville 2B	1.90	63.78	8.10
Sel. Lone Star3.659.998.33Georgia King1.536.7.16.7.5Period 1963-199252951.4068.554.00C.7417.3917.3917.390.100.7-811.4069.552.70C.R 100 W13.0430.439.130.7.971.407.151.35Sel. Lone Star1.4141.8439.13DPL1.407.151.35Deltapine8.7150.551.39Acla1.407.551.35Gh-11-9754.3559.208.69C-741.407.551.35Stoneville B-14394.3550.508.69DePLD1.217.9424.324Allen S0T4.357.558.69DePLD1.218.044.34Stoneville 2B4.357.658.69DePLD1.218.054.34Foster 1204.357.658.69S242A1.218.164.34Foster 1201.558.16Scala 341.218.052.07CNPA SR132.178.344.34Scala 341.218.052.07Scala 342.178.164.34De G100 Acla1.018.632.07NU-161.649.674.34De G100 Acla0.948.305.40Accal 5157-702.178.544.34Oc23-L650.749.671.35Scala 340.640.621.649.643.43Oc23-L650.74 <td>Sel. Beat- All</td> <td>0.52</td> <td>96.34</td> <td>8.33</td> <td>CHACO 520</td> <td>1.84</td> <td>65.62</td> <td>6.75</td>	Sel. Beat- All	0.52	96.34	8.33	CHACO 520	1.84	65.62	6.75
Period 1963-199255566.8.5.4.9.6C7417.9917.39<	Sel. Lone Star	3.65	99.99	8.33	Georgia King	1.53	67.15	6.75
C-7417.3917.3917.39C-100-7-811.406.9.552.70CKR 100 W13.0430.4339.13D3 - 791.4071.352.70Sel. Lone Star1.14141.8439.13DPL1.4071.551.35Deltapine4.3554.904.34Island 5521.4075.551.35GH-11-9754.3554.908.90C-741.4076.951.35Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69Sure Grow 1251.2678.214.32Allen 333 - 154 -t4.3576.558.69Sure Grow 1251.2180.634.34Stoneville B-14394.3576.558.69Sure Grow 1251.158.622.097Stoneville B-14394.3576.558.69Sure Grow 1251.158.622.097Stoneville B-14392.178.534.34Sure Grow 1251.158.622.097Stoneville B-1432.178.534.34Sure Grow 1251.558.623.097Stone Stone Stone Stone Stone Stone Stone	Period 1963-1992				S 295	1.40	68.55	4.05
CKR 100 W13.0430.4339.13D3 - 791.407.1352.70Sel. Lone Star11.4141.8439.13DPL1.407.751.35Deltapine8.7150.551.39Acala1.407.451.35Scher Jinle8.7350.551.39Acala f521.407.651.35Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69C-741.407.691.35Allen 50T4.3567.958.69D&PLD1.217.9424.324Stoneville 2B4.357.658.69Szd2 A1.218.164.324Foster 12O4.357.658.69Szd2 A1.218.164.324Foster 12O4.357.658.69Szd2 A1.218.164.324F432.1778.824.34Sightmasterx39-11-201.158.4.202.097CNPA SRI32.178.334.34Sicala 341.018.6.302.097Sicala 342.178.534.34Sicala 341.018.6.302.07NU-162.178.543.34Sicala 41.018.6.302.07NU-162.178.543.34Sicala 41.018.6.33.02Sicala 341.099.4544.34Gazuncho0.928.145.40Acia 1517-702.178.543.34Sicala 41.018.522.70Sical Sac1.999.4544	C-74	17.39	17.39	17.39	C-100-7-81	1.40	69.95	2.70
Sel. Lone Star11.4141.8439.13DPL1.407.2751.35Deltapine8.7150.551.39Acala1.407.5.51.35GH-1.9754.3554.504.34Island 552.01.407.5.51.35Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69C-741.407.5.68.5.1Allen 5074.3563.608.89Sure Grow 1251.267.8.24.3.2Allen 333 - 154 - t4.357.3.08.69Express1.218.0.6343.24Foster 1204.357.6.58.69Express1.218.0.6343.24Fada Star1.77.8.24.341.28 R8.1.28.0.54.3.24Faba Tk/11.78.234.341.81 Matherx39-11-201.158.6.22.07CNPA SRI32.178.134.34Sicala 341.018.6.32.07Sicala 342.178.3.34.34Sicala 341.018.6.32.07NU-62.178.5.44.34Sicala 340.928.9.15.40Sicala 341.178.6.74.34Sicala 340.928.9.15.40Accla 517-702.178.5.74.34Sicala 140.928.9.15.40Sicala 517-701.69.49.43.34Sicala 140.709.71.35Sicala 517-701.69.49.43.4Sicala 140.709.71.35 <td>CKR 100 W</td> <td>13.04</td> <td>30.43</td> <td>39.13</td> <td>D3 – 79</td> <td>1.40</td> <td>71.35</td> <td>2.70</td>	CKR 100 W	13.04	30.43	39.13	D3 – 79	1.40	71.35	2.70
Deltapine8.7150.551.39AcalaI.4074.151.35GH-11-9754.3554.904.34Island 5521.4075.551.35Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69C-741.4076.951.35Allen 50T4.3563.608.99Sure Grow 1251.2176.924.324Allen 33 154-t4.357.308.69Express1.218.034.324Stoneville 2B4.357.658.69524 2 A1.218.044.324Foster 1204.357.658.69524 2 A1.218.054.324Foster 1204.357.658.69524 2 A1.218.054.324Foster 1204.357.658.69524 2 A1.218.054.324Foster 1201.178.164.34128 R1.158.202.097CNPA SR132.178.164.34Sicala 341.018.552.097Sicala 342.178.164.34Sicala 341.018.622.07NU-162.178.164.34Sicala 340.018.025.40Accel 5.5232.178.534.34Sicala 340.028.915.40Sel Beat-All1.649.443.44Sicala 740.709.171.35Vucatanes1.099.454.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Sel Beat-All1.099.67 <td>Sel. Lone Star</td> <td>11.41</td> <td>41.84</td> <td>39.13</td> <td>DPL</td> <td>1.40</td> <td>72.75</td> <td>1.35</td>	Sel. Lone Star	11.41	41.84	39.13	DPL	1.40	72.75	1.35
GH-11-9754.3554.904.34Island 5521.407.5.551.35Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69C-741.4076.951.35Allen 50T4.3563.608.89Sure Grow 1251.2678.214.05Allen 333 · 154 +14.3567.958.69D&PLD1.2180.634.324Stoneville 2B4.3576.658.69S242 A1.2180.634.324Foster 1204.3576.658.69S242 A1.2180.634.324Reba Tk/12.178.164.34Bightmasterx39·11-201.158.2020.97CNPA SR132.178.364.34Sicala 341.158.632.07Sicala 342.178.754.34Sicala 340.148.825.40NU-162.178.754.34Gazuncho0.928.125.40Accala 1517-702.179.843.33Sel Beat-All0.738.972.027Jond cotton Polycros1.099.454.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Yucatanense1.099.744.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Fox1.099.744.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Yucatanense1.099.744.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Nu -HO41.099.744.34Sicala 740.709.171.35Sica Sical	Deltapine	8.71	50.55	1.39	Acala	1.40	74.15	1.35
Stoneville B-14394.3559.258.69C-741.4076.951.35Allen 50T4.3563.608.89Sure Grow 1251.2678.214.05Allen 333 - 154 -t4.3567.958.69D&PLD1.2179.4243.24Stoneville 2B4.3572.308.69Express1.2180.6343.24Foster 12O4.3576.658.69524 2 A1.2181.8443.24E432.1778.824.342128 RA1.158.4.2020.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.158.5.3520.97Sicala 342.178.534.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.178.934.34De fol0 Acala0.9487.305.40Accla 1517-702.179.844.34Guzunch0.9288.125.40Sel. Beat-All1.649.444.34Guzunch0.9289.145.40Joh Choto Polycross1.099.544.34Sicala YI0.709.571.35Vucatanense1.099.544.34Sicala YI0.709.171.35Nu -H041.099.744.34Sicala YI0.709.171.35Nu -H041.099.834.34Sicala YI0.709.371.35Nu -H041.099.744.34Sicala YI0.709.371.35Nu -H04 <td< td=""><td>GH-11-975</td><td>4.35</td><td>54.90</td><td>4.34</td><td>Island 552</td><td>1.40</td><td>75.55</td><td>1.35</td></td<>	GH-11-975	4.35	54.90	4.34	Island 552	1.40	75.55	1.35
Allen 50T4.3563.608.89Sure Grow 1251.267.8.214.05Allen 333 - 154 - t4.3567.958.69D&PLD1.217.9.424.3.24Stoneville 2B4.3572.308.69Express1.2180.634.3.24Foster 12O4.3576.658.695242 A1.2181.844.3.24E 432.1778.824.34128 R1.158.3.0520.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.158.3.052.0.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.018.6.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34De 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Mataco0.928.225.40Sel Beat-All0.999.4564.34Guauncho0.928.9.145.40John Cotton Polycross1.099.4564.34Guauncho0.709.1271.35Nu-H041.099.6544.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.7834.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.8374.34Sicala VI0.709.371.35Nu-H041.099.6744.34Sicala VI0.709.371.35Fox1.099.8374.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Axte0.69<	Stoneville B-1439	4.35	59.25	8.69	C-74	1.40	76.95	1.35
Allen 333 - 154 - t4.3567.958.69D&PLD1.2179.424.32Stoneville 2B4.3572.308.69Express1.2180.6343.24Foster 12O4.3576.658.695242 A1.2181.8443.24E 432.1778.824.342128 R1.2183.0543.24Reba Tk/12.1780.994.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.1584.2020.97CNPA SRI32.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0185.3520.97Sicala 342.1787.504.34De folo Acala0.9487.005.40NU-162.1789.674.34Matco0.9288.225.40Acco 5-5232.1789.674.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0997.834.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0997.834.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0997.834.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0997.834.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Stora Nu - H04	Allen 50T	4.35	63.60	8.89	Sure Grow 125	1.26	78.21	4.05
Stoneville 2B4.357.2.308.69Express1.218.0.634.324Foster 1204.357.6.558.695.242 A1.2181.844.3.24E 432.177.8.224.342128 R1.218.0.54.3.4Reba Tk/12.178.0.94.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.1584.2020.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.1585.3520.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34De f100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Guazuncho0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel Beat-All0.7091.271.35Yucatanese1.0996.544.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.099.674.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Fox1.099.734.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Atte0.5498.374.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Nu - H041.0997.834.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Atte0.5498.374.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Atte0.54	Allen 333 - 154 –t	4.35	67.95	8.69	D&PLD	1.21	79.42	43.24
Foster 1204.3576.658.695242 A1.218.1844.324E 432.1778.824.342128 R1.218.054.34Reba Tk/12.1780.994.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.1584.2020.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.1585.3520.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34DP 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Yucatanense1.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Nu - H041.0995.474.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.099.544.34Sicala VI0.709.672.70St 0 Acala Lines0.5498.914.348090.709.671.35Atte0.549.914.34Stodo/870.709.471.35Atte0.549.914.34Stodo/870.709.671.35Staraet0.279.184.34Stodo/870.709.671.35Staraet0.27	Stoneville 2B	4.35	72.30	8.69	Express	1.21	80.63	43.24
E432.1778.824.34212 R1.218.104.32Reba Tk/12.1780.994.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.1584.2020.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.1585.3520.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34De f100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9289.145.40Acla 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.709.571.35John Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34Guazuncho0.709.171.35Nu -H041.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.6344.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Nu -H041.099.6374.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.8374.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Ate0.279.1844.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.8374.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Fox1.099.8374.34Sicala VI0.709.171.35Ate0.209.184.34<	Foster 120	4.35	76.65	8.69	5242 A	1.21	81.84	43.24
Reba Tk/12.1780.994.34Bightmasterx39-11-201.1584.202.0.97CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.1585.352.0.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34DP 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7090.571.35John Cotton Polycross1.099.554.34Sicala VI0.7091.771.35Yucatanense1.099.6744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.099.7834.34Sicala VI0.7091.672.70St o Acala Lines0.549.814.34Sicala VI0.7091.672.70Atte0.549.814.34Sudoformant0.7093.371.35Atte0.549.814.34Sudoformant0.709.4771.35Atte0.549.814.34Sudoformant0.709.4771.35Star All Lines0.279.184.34Sudoformant0.709.4771.35Atte0.549.914.34Suregrow 31.000.709.4771.35	E 43	2.17	78.82	4.34	2128 R	1.21	83.05	43.24
CNPA SRI32.1783.164.34KA 4808-5(1&2) D1.1585.3520.97Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34DP 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 - 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34Stola VI0.7093.371.35Atte0.5498.914.34Stola VI0.7093.371.35Atte0.5498.914.34Stola VI0.7094.771.35Atte0.5498.914.34Stola VI0.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Stola VI0.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stola VI0.7095.471.35D&PLD0.7799.924.34Stoneville 70.7096.171.35	Reba Tk/1	2.17	80.99	4.34	Bightmasterx39-11-20	1.15	84.20	20.97
Sicala 342.1785.334.34Sicala 341.0186.362.70NU-162.1787.504.34DP 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 - 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.914.34S090.7094.771.35Axte0.5498.914.34Suregrow 310.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.784.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stoneville 70.7096.741.35D&PLD0.7799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.741.35	CNPA SRI3	2.17	83.16	4.34	KA 4808-5(1&2) D	1.15	85.35	20.97
NU-162.1787.504.34DP 6100 Acala0.9487.305.40AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 – 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34Su 04500.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.34Su 04500.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34Su 04500.7094.071.352128 R0.2799.184.34Suregrow 310.7094.771.355242 A0.2799.724.34Suregrow 310.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.171.35	Sicala 34	2.17	85.33	4.34	Sicala 34	1.01	86.36	2.70
AFC 65-5232.1789.674.34Mataco0.9288.225.40Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 – 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala VI0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34Su 04500.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.34Su 04500.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34Su 04500.7094.071.352128 R0.2799.184.34Suregrow 310.7094.771.355242 A0.2799.724.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.771.35	NU-16	2.17	87.50	4.34	DP 6100 Acala	0.94	87.30	5.40
Acala 1517-702.1791.844.34Guazuncho0.9289.145.40Sel. Beat-All1.6493.473.13Sel. Beat-All0.7389.8720.27Jonh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 – 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34CHACO 1100.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.3489090.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34Suregrow 310.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stoneville 70.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	AFC 65-523	2.17	89.67	4.34	Mataco	0.92	88.22	5.40
Sel. Beat-All 1.64 93.47 3.13 Sel. Beat-All 0.73 89.87 20.27 Jonh Cotton Polycross 1.09 94.56 4.34 0C 92 – 165 0.70 90.57 1.35 Yucatanense 1.09 95.65 4.34 Sicala 0.70 91.27 1.35 Nu - H04 1.09 96.74 4.34 Sicala VI 0.70 91.97 1.35 Fox 1.09 97.83 4.34 SU 0450 0.70 92.67 2.70 8 to Acala Lines 0.54 98.37 4.34 SU 0450 0.70 93.37 1.35 Axte 0.54 98.37 4.34 CHACO 110 0.70 94.07 2.70 Express 0.27 99.18 4.34 8909 0.70 94.07 2.70 2128 R 0.27 99.45 4.34 Suregrow 31 0.70 95.47 1.35 5242 A 0.27 99.72 4.34 Stoneville 7 0.70 96.17	Acala 1517-70	2.17	91.84	4.34	Guazuncho	0.92	89.14	5.40
Johh Cotton Polycross1.0994.564.34OC 92 – 1650.7090.571.35Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34CHACO 1100.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.3489090.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34Suregrow 310.7094.771.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stoneville 70.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	Sel. Beat-All	1.64	93.47	3.13	Sel. Beat-All	0.73	89.87	20.27
Yucatanense1.0995.654.34Sicala0.7091.271.35Nu - H041.0996.744.34Sicala VI0.7091.971.35Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34CHACO 1100.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.3489090.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34PR 3060/870.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stoneville 70.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	Jonh Cotton Polycross	1.09	94.56	4.34	OC 92 – 165	0.70	90.57	1.35
Nu - H04 1.09 96.74 4.34 Sicala VI 0.70 91.97 1.35 Fox 1.09 97.83 4.34 SU 0450 0.70 92.67 2.70 8 to Acala Lines 0.54 98.37 4.34 SU 0450 0.70 93.37 1.35 Axte 0.54 98.91 4.34 8909 0.70 94.07 2.70 Express 0.27 99.18 4.34 8909 0.70 94.07 2.70 2128 R 0.27 99.18 4.34 Suregrow 31 0.70 94.77 1.35 5242 A 0.27 99.45 4.34 Stoneyille 7 0.70 96.17 1.35 D&PLD 0.27 99.72 4.34 Stoneyille 7 0.70 96.17 1.35	Yucatanense	1.09	95.65	4.34	Sicala	0.70	91.27	1.35
Fox1.0997.834.34SU 04500.7092.672.708 to Acala Lines0.5498.374.34CHACO 1100.7093.371.35Axte0.5498.914.3489090.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34PR 3060/870.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	Nu - H04	1.09	96.74	4.34	Sicala VI	0.70	91.97	1.35
8 to Acala Lines 0.54 98.37 4.34 CHACO 110 0.70 93.37 1.35 Axte 0.54 98.91 4.34 8909 0.70 94.07 2.70 Express 0.27 99.18 4.34 PR 3060/87 0.70 94.77 1.35 2128 R 0.27 99.45 4.34 Suregrow 31 0.70 95.47 1.35 5242 A 0.27 99.72 4.34 Stoneville 7 0.70 96.17 1.35 D&PLD 0.27 99.99 4.34 Stoneville 7 0.70 96.17 1.35	Fox	1.09	97.83	4.34	SU 0450	0.70	92.67	2.70
Axte0.5498.914.3489090.7094.072.70Express0.2799.184.34PR 3060/870.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34S 60260.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	8 to Acala Lines	0.54	98.37	4.34	CHACO 110	0.70	93.37	1.35
Express0.2799.184.34PR 3060/870.7094.771.352128 R0.2799.454.34Suregrow 310.7095.471.355242 A0.2799.724.34S 60260.7096.171.35D&PLD0.2799.994.34Stoneville 70.7096.879.45	Axte	0.54	98.91	4.34	8909	0.70	94.07	2.70
2128 R 0.27 99.45 4.34 Suregrow 31 0.70 95.47 1.35 5242 A 0.27 99.72 4.34 S 6026 0.70 96.17 1.35 D&PLD 0.27 99.99 4.34 Stoneville 7 0.70 96.87 9.45	Express	0.27	99.18	4.34	PR 3060/87	0.70	94.77	1.35
5242 A 0.27 99.72 4.34 S 6026 0.70 96.17 1.35 D&PLD 0.27 99.99 4.34 S toneville 7 0.70 96.87 9.45	2128 R	0.27	99.45	4.34	Suregrow 31	0.70	95.47	1.35
D&PLD 0.27 99.99 4.34 Stoneville 7 0.70 96.87 9.45	5242 A	0.27	99.72	4.34	S 6026	0.70	96.17	1.35
	D&PLD	0.27	99.99	4.34	Stoneville 7	0.70	96.87	9.45
Period 1993-2021 . AC 239 0.34 97.21 10.81	Period 1993-2021				AC 239	0.34	97.21	10.81
CNPA SRI3 13.85 13.85 16.21 JA 348 0.34 97.55 10.81	CNPA SRI3	13.85	13.85	16.21	JA 348	0.34	97.55	10.81
GH-11-9-75 5.06 18.91 10.81 CNPA 86-387 0.33 97.88 1.35	GH-11-9-75	5.06	18.91	10.81	CNPA 86-387	0.33	97.88	1.35
CKR 100 W 5.00 23.91 20.27 Yucatanense 0.33 98.21 1.35	CKR 100 W	5.00	23.91	20.27	Yucatanense	0.33	98.21	1.35
Jonh Cotton Polycross 4.70 28.63 43.24 Nu-H04 0.33 98.54 1.35	Jonh Cotton Polycross	4.70	28.63	43.24	Nu-H04	0.33	98.54	1.35
FOX 4.72 33.33 43.24 P 288 0.33 98.87 1.35	FOX	4.72	33.33	43.24	P 288	0.33	98.87	1.35
Sel. Lone Star 4.22 37.55 20.27 Cook 312 RF 0.21 99.08 5.40	Sel. Lone Star	4.22	37.55	20.27	Cook 312 RF	0.21	99.08	5.40
ISA 20 3.37 40.92 5.40 Deltapine 0.17 99.25 1.35	ISA 20	3.37	40.92	5.40	Deltapine	0.17	99.25	1.35
IAC-20-RR-740 2.06 42.98 4.05 Arkansas Green 0.08 99.33 1.35	IAC-20-RR-740	2.06	42.98	4.05	Arkansas Green	0.08	99.33	1.35
Sicala 33 2.90 45.88 12.16 Donor RR 0.08 99.41 4.05	Sicala 33	2.90	45.88	12.16	Donor RR	0.08	99.41	4.05

Genealogy and genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars

		Number			NAGB (%)					
Period	Number cultivars	Number ancestors	ANAC	AGC 4 (%)	50	60	70	80	90	NA/NC
1932-1962	12	8	1.25	88.33	2	3	3	4	5	0.667
1963-1992	23	28	2.73	50.55	4	7	9	12	17	1.217
1993-2021	74	58	6.48	28.63	11	16	22	29	39	0.784
Total	109	68	5.36	25.90	12	16	23	33	45	0.624

Table 3. Analysis of three periods, indicating the number of cultivars and ancestors in each one, average number of ancestors per cultivar (ANAC), accumulated genetic contribution of the top 4 ancestors (AGC 4), number of ancestors that correspond to 50% to 90% of the genetic base (NAGB) and ratio between the number of ancestors and the number of cultivars (NA/NC) in each period

cultivars had only one ancestor; therefore, they were developed by direct selection from landraces or old cultivars. The ratio between the number of ancestors and the number of cultivars (NA/NC) was only 0.624. The number of ancestors that correspond to 50% to 90% of the genetic base (NAGB) was low (12 and 45, respectively), mainly in the first two periods, although it increased in the last period studied (Table 3). These results also demonstrated how narrow the genetic base of the Brazilian cotton cultivars is.

From 1932 to 1962, the Express ancestor had the highest RGC (33.33%) and FAP (33.33%) to Brazilian cotton cultivars. Three among eight ancestors (Express, Texas Bigboll, and Stoneville 2B) contributed with 75% of the genes, as shown in Table 2. They originated from *Gossypium hirsutum* lines from the United States, introduced in Brazil by the IAC cotton improvement program (Penna 2005).

In the second period (1963-1992), the number of cultivars and ancestors increased considerably, with 23 cultivars released, but the participation of 28 ancestors was low (Table 3). The C-74, CKR 100 W, Sel. Lone Star and Deltapine cultivars participated in 50.55% of genes of the genealogies, and CKR 100 W and Sel. Lone Star ancestors had the highest FAP values, 39.13% (Table 2). During this period, the genetic breeding of cotton in Brazil was carried out by the IAC, EMBRAPA, IAPAR, and EPAMIG, with the participation of public universities. Genotype exchange among institutions was frequent, including introductions and materials from hybridizations obtained between parents with complementary traits, mainly aimed at better fiber quality and disease resistance.

However, most breeding programs were resized due to the introduction of the cotton boll weevil in Brazil, making the commercial cultivation of semi-perennial cotton unfeasible. The reduction of the crop cycle and the selection of traits that allowed for delaying the infestation of the pest became a priority for the coexistence with the pest. In addition, mechanized cultivation predominating in the new agricultural frontiers (Southeast and Central-West regions of Brazil) demanded the incorporation of other parents for genetic recombination to generate appropriate cultivars for new demand.

The number of cultivars released in Brazil between 1993 and 2021 was 195. This large number is due to the "maturity" of Brazilian breeding programs in public institutions, the participation of private companies, encouraged by the Cultivar Protection Law enacted in 1997, and the increase in exports, elevating cotton to the status of a world agricultural commodity. As most cultivars were developed by private companies that do not disclose genealogies, only 75 of the 195 were included in this study.

It should be emphasized that many cultivars developed by private companies, including the transgenic ones, originated from selected genotypes that have the same origins as cultivars developed by public companies, considering that until then, private companies do not invest in developing improved germplasm. For most of these transgenic cultivars, events such as disease, pest and herbicide resistance were introduced in elite genotypes or cultivars from traditional ancestors. Therefore, such cultivars should have contributed to the further narrowing of the Brazilian cotton genetic base.

The ancestors with the highest RGC were CNPA SRI3 (13.85%), GH-11-9-75 (5.06%), CKR 100 W (5.00%), FOX (4.72%), and Jonh Cotton Polycross (4.70%) (Table 2). These ancestors were included as parents in the period from 1963 to 1992. Regarding the AGC, 12 ancestors contributed with 53.52% of the genes. Eight ancestors showed identical FAP values (43.24%). Despite the greater genetic diversity added in this period, there was also a concentration on the use of parents. The results from the different periods (Table 4) show a tendency to widen the Brazilian cotton genetic base

by increasing the number of cultivars and ancestors over time. It is also supported by increasing the mean number of ancestors per cultivar and decreasing the AGC of the top four ancestors.

The genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars was considered narrow, mainly in the first two periods studied (1932-1962 and 1963-1992), which could have made it difficult to obtain gain yield in genetic breeding programs. Considering the period from 1993 to 2021, the diversity of the cultivars released commercially is broader than that of those developed in the two previous periods evaluated. That broadness certainly favored the development of new cultivars suitable for the new agricultural frontiers, mechanization and some yield increase.

Therefore, it is necessary to concentrate efforts on including new parents in crosses, which provide expansion of the genetic base of the cotton crop in Brazil. It is recommended to avoid choosing parents of the same origin for genetic recombination in order not to restrict selection gains for traits of agronomic interest and to avoid possible risks of vulnerability concerning pests and diseases. Therefore, in new genetic recombinations, as far as possible, genitors originating from ancestors with the highest relative genetic contribution to the cultivars released after 1992 should be avoided; they are CNPA SRI3, GH-11-9-75 and CKR 100W.

ACKNOWLEDGEMETS

Table S1 can be requested from the author (silvaedsonf@hotmail.com).

REFERENCES

- ABRAPA Associação Brasileira de Produtores de Algodão (2022) Algodão do Brasil. Available at <https://www.abrapa.com.br/Paginas/dados/ algodao-no-brasil.aspx>. Accessed on May 21, 2022.
- AMIPA Associação Mineira dos Produtos de Algodão (2022) Available at <https://amipa.com.br/sobre-o-algodao/historia>. Accessed on April 6, 2022.
- Bertini CHCM, Schuster I, Sediyama T, Barros EG and Moreira MA (2005) Analysis of cotton genetic diversity by microsatellites and pedigree. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 5: 369-378.
- Bertini CHCM, Schuster I, Sediyama T, Barros EG and Moreira MA (2006) Characterization and genetic diversity analysis of cotton cultivars using microsatellites. Genetics and Molecular Biology 29: 321-329.
- Bowman DT and Gutiérrez OA (2003) Sources of fiber strength in the U.S. upland cotton crop from 1980 to 2000. Journal of Cotton Science 7: 164-169.
- Bowman DT, Gutierrez OA, Percy RG, Calhoun DS and May OL (2006) Pedigrees of upland and prima cotton cultivars released between 1970 and 2005. *Mississippi Agricultural & Forestry Experiment Station, Mississippi* (Bulletin, 1155).
- Bowman DT, May OL and Calhoun DS (1996) Genetic base of upland cotton cultivars released between 1970 and 1990. **Crop Science 36**: 577-581.
- Carvalho LP (2008) O gênero *Gossypium* e suas espécies cultivadas e silvestres. In Azevedo DMP and Beltrão NEM (eds) **O agronegócio do algodão no Brasil**. Embrapa Informação Tecnológica, Brasília, p. 251-270.
- Cavaleri PA and Gridi-Papp IL (1993) Algodão. In Furlani AMC and Viégas GP (eds) **O melhoramento de plantas no Instituto Agronômico.** Instituto Agronômico, Campinas, p. 14-27.
- Cavaleri PA, Ferraz CAM, Gridi-Papp, IL, Fuzzato MG and Silva NM

(1967) Relatório dos trabalhos de 1964/65 e 1965/66, a comissão permanente de tempo integral. Seção algodão, Instituto Agronômico de Campinas, 15p.

- Coêlho JD (2021) Algodão: produção e mercados. Escritório Técnico de Estudos Econômicos do Nordeste 6: 1-11.
- Gingle AR, Yang H, Chee PW, Rong OL, Bowman DT, Lubbers EL, Day JL and Paterson AH (2006) An integrated web resource for cotton. **Crop** Science 46: 1978-2007.
- ICAC International Cotton Advisory Committee (2022) Cotton: world statistics. Available at <https:// https://icac.shinyapps.io/ICAC_ Open_Data_Dashboaard/#>. Accessed on October 25, 2022.
- Morello CL, Pedrosa MB, Suassuna ND, Chitarra LG, Lamas FM, Silva Filho JL, Andrade FP, Barroso PAV, Ribeiro JL, Godinho VPC and Lanza MA (2012) BRS 335: a midseason high-yielding upland cotton cultivar for Northeast Brazilian savanna. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 12: 224-226.
- Nass LL (2001) Utilização dos recursos genéticos no melhoramento. In Nass LL, Valois ACC, Mello IS and Valadares-Inglis MC (eds) Recursos genéticos e melhoramento plantas. Fundação MT, Rondonópolis, p. 29-55.
- Penna JCV (2005) Melhoramento do algodão. In Borém A (ed) Melhoramento de espécies cultivadas. Editora UFV, Viçosa, p. 15-53.
- Rabelo HO, Guimarães JFR, Pinheiro JB and Silva EF (2015) Genetic base of Brazilian irrigated rice cultivars. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 15: 146-153.
- Saleem MA, Amjid MW, Ahmad MQ, Riaz H, Arshad SF and Zia ZU (2020) Est-ssr based analysis revealed narrow genetic base of in-use cotton varieties of Pakistan. **Pakistan Journal of Botany 52**: 1667-1672.
- Silva EF, Montalván R and Ando A (1999) Genealogia dos cultivares brasileiros de arroz-de-sequeiro. Bragatia 58: 281-286.

Genealogy and genetic base of Brazilian cotton cultivars

- Suassuna ND, Morello CDL, Silva Filho JLD, Pedrosa MB, Perina FJ, Magalhães FODC and Lamas FM (2020) BRS 372 and BRS 416: high-yielding cotton cultivars with multiple disease resistance. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 20: 1-6.
- Van Esbroeck GA and Bowman (1998) Cotton germplasm diversity and its importance to estimates to cultivars development. Journal of Cotton Science 2: 121-129.
- Van Esbroeck GA, Bowman DT, May OL and Calhoun DS (1999) Genetic similarity for ancestral cotton cultivars and their impact on genetic diversity estimates of modern cultivars. Crop Science 39: 323-328.
- Vidal Neto FC and Freire EC (2013) Melhoramento genético do algodoeiro. In Vidal FC and Cavalcanti JJV (eds) **Melhoramento genético de plantas no Nordeste**. Embrapa, Brasília, p. 49-83.
- Wysmierski, T and Vello NT (2013) The genetic base of Brazilian soybean cultivars: evolution over time and breeding implications. **Genetics and Molecular Biology 36**: 547-555.
- Zenh L (2014) Broadening the genetic base of upland cotton in U.S. cultivars - genetic variation for lint yield and fiber quality in germplasm resources. In Abdurakhmonov IY (ed) **World Cotton Germplasm Resources.** Intech, Rijeka, p. 231-246.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.