

Combining Ability of Potato Genotypes for Cool and Warm Seasons in Brazil

Cícero Beserra de Menezes; César Augusto Brasil Pereira Pinto* and Eduardo de Souza Lambert

Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA). Caixa Postal 37, CEP 37200-000, Lavras - MG. (*Corresponding Author. E-mail: cesarbrasil@ufla.br)

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to identify potato cultivars with high combining abilities for heat tolerance and to get information about the individual performance of clones originated from crosses between heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive parents. Twenty six clonal families and more than 600 clones were assessed in warm and cool seasons in Brazil. High temperatures decreased tuber number and weight, resulting in 46% reduction in tuber yield. Furthermore, there was an eight-fold increase in tuber physiological disorders and a remarkable reduction in tuber specific gravity. General combining ability (GCA) x seasons and specific combining ability (SCA) x seasons interactions were significant for most traits, indicating that the best parents and families for the warm season were not the same for the cool season. However, as these interactions represented the average behavior of a large number of parents and families, it was possible to identify some outstanding parents and families for both seasons. Genetic variances among and within families showed that it is possible to obtain superior clones.

INTRODUCTION

The potato crop has spread widely in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. However, due to its adaptation to temperate climates, it has faced many problems in warmer environments. The optimum average temperature for potato growth and production is between 10°C and 20°C (Antunes and Fortes, 1981), and the best temperature for tuber formation for most commercial cultivars is just over 15.5°C (FAO, 1991). Fontes and Finger (1999) recommended an environment which provides a large number of light hours, day temperatures between 18 and 23°C, cool nights and a minimum of day hours with temperatures above 25° as ideal for potato cultivation.

High temperatures can affect potato production in several ways: i) general reduction in plant development, mainly by reducing the photosynthesis ability and increasing respiration losses (Wolf et al., 1990; Prange et al., 1990; Reynolds et al., 1990); ii) increase in the partitioning of biomass to the aerial plant parts in detriment of roots, stolons and tubers

(Sarquís et al., 1996; Van Dam et al., 1996); iii) delay in tuberization, reducing tuber growth rate by shortening the period of photosynthates accumulation (Manrique et al., 1989; Prange et al., 1990; Menezes et al., 1999). Besides these factors, there is also an increase in the percentage of tubers' physiological disorders (cracking and second growth) which affects tubers appearance and reduces their commercial acceptance (Menezes et al., 1999).

In Brazil, more than 50% of the potato crop is carried out in the warm season (September – January), about 30% in the dry season (February – April) and approximately 20% in the cool season (May – August) (Camargo Filho and Mazzei, 1996). Although cropping in these periods is concentrated in the cooler southern states and in high altitude regions of the southeastern states, temperatures above 25°C frequently occur, resulting in low yields and poor tuber quality.

Potato breeding for heat tolerance is relatively new throughout the world and in Brazil very few studies have been done (Neves et al., 1998;

Flori and Resende, 2000). Menezes et al. (1999) have studied the adverse effects of high temperatures on potato development in Brazil, including genotypes DTO 28, LT 7, LT 8 and LT 9 released as heat tolerant by CIP (Centro Internacional de la Papa). The authors detected that even in the heat tolerant genotypes, high temperatures reduced tuber yield by 25.5%, mainly due to delay in the tuberization and to a smaller partitioning of photo-assimilates to the tubers. They also observed a reduction in tuber dry matter content and an increase in tuber cracking and second any growth.

Although the understanding of the genetic control of heat tolerance is limited, genetic variability for this trait in potato has been reported and investigated by various authors (Sekioka et al., 1974; Levy, 1984; Susnoschi et al., 1987, 1988).

The present study was carried out to identify potato cultivars with high combining ability for heat tolerance, obtain data on the genetic control of this trait and study the performance of clones derived from crosses between heat tolerant and susceptible parents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty hybrid combinations (families) between heat tolerant (group 1) and susceptible (group 2) genotypes, three combinations within group 1 and three combinations within group 2, were assessed in four experiments. Each family was represented by about thirty randomly chosen clones. The experiments in the warm season (November to February) were set up in Lavras and Maria da Fé, State of Minas Gerais, in 1996/97 and 1997/98, respectively, while in the cool season (May to August) experiments were carried out in Lavras and Alfenas, Minas Gerais, in 1996/97 and 1997/98, respectively. A randomized complete block design with three replications was used. Cultivars Achat and Baraka were used as controls. In the experiment of Maria da Fé, cv.

Monalisa was used as control instead of Baraka. Treatments were distributed in three-row plots with ten plants spaced at 0.30m x 0.80m between plants within rows and between rows, respectively. Each plant was derived from a different clone.

Two other experiments, one in the cool (May to August 1998) and the other in the warm (November 1998 to March 1999) season, were carried out in Lavras to assess the clone individual performances. An augmented block design (Federer, 1956) with 698 clones (regular treatments), consisting on average of 26 clones from each family and two controls (common treatments: Achat and Baraka) was used for the cool season experiment. Treatments were distributed in 48 blocks, each one containing on average 15 regular treatments and the two controls.

In the warm season 603 clones were assessed together with Achat and Baraka as controls, using a randomized complete block design with two replications. The plots consisted of a single row, with five plants spaced at 0.35m x 0.80m between plants within rows and between rows, respectively.

Considering the set of six experiments using the clonal families, diallel analyses were performed to estimate the general combining abilities (GCA) of the parents and specific combining abilities (SCA) of the hybrid combinations. GCA and SCA effects were estimated using least squares according to Ramalho et al. (2000). Clones were classified and selected according to the sum of ranks index proposed by Mulamba and Mok (1978).

RESULTS

Cool season

The cool season experiments were carried out under mild temperatures which varied from 12.1°C to 26.6°C. In Lavras, about 35% of the growing season had temperatures below 15°C,

35% with temperatures between 15°C and 20°C, 23% with temperatures between 20°C and 25°C and only 7% with temperatures above 25°C.

Significance among family means were detected for all traits, and a wide diversity was observed within families. However, significance for all traits was only observed among clones within the CBM 3 family (DTO 28 x Itararé). Broad sense heritabilities were high (>0,50) for all traits and were always greater among families than among clones.

The family sum of squares in the diallel analysis was partitioned into GCA and SCA. In the family experiments, SCA effects predominated for all traits in Alfenas-98, and for tuber yield and percentage of large tubers (diameter > 45 mm) in Lavras-97. GCA effects predominated in all other cases.

The GCA estimates for the three cool season experiments were not consistent, since there were parents showing positive GCA estimates in one experiment and negative in others (Table 1). For example, LT 7 and EPAMIG 76-0580 parents showed high and positive effects for tuber yield in Lavras-98 and high negative values in Alfenas-98. For tuber yield, DTO 28 clone and cv. Aracy showed significant and positive effects on Alfenas-98, and non-significant on the other two experiments. However, clone DTO 28 contributed to the increase in the percentage of tuber cracking in its hybrids.

The parents with the best GCA estimates for tuber specific gravity were LT 7 and cv. Baronesa, which had high and positive estimates in two experiments. Clone LT 7 also contributed favorably to the increase in the percentage of large tubers (Table 1). Cultivar Desirée, considered heat tolerant (Levy, 1984), contributed to the reduction in the percentage of large tubers and tuber specific gravity (Table 1).

The families with greater SCA estimates for tuber yield were CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-0580), CBM 3 (DTO 28 x Itararé) and CBM 1 (LT 8 x Aracy). It should be pointed out also that parents LT 7 and DTO 28 and cv. Aracy had high GCA estimates for this trait. Family CBM 3 had the disadvantage of presenting a high percentage of tuber cracking (Table 2).

In spite of the reasonable magnitude of the determination coefficients SCA for the percentage of large tubers, only family CBM 17 (LT 7 x Itararé) showed positive estimates in all three cool season experiments.

Table 2 shows the means of the clonal families in the three cool season experiments. The Scott and Knott test (1974) was applied to compare the means in each experiment separately. Several families were superior to the control cultivar (Achat) for tuber yield and tuber specific gravity. The control cultivar Baraka had low tuber yield in Lavras-97, showing better performance in the other two experiments.

Family means were not consistent over the three experiments. The CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-0580) and CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7) families showed good tuber yields in Lavras, but did not repeat the good performance in Alfenas, where several other families showed greater tuber yield. Similarly, the CBM 1 (LT 8 x Aracy), CBM 11 (Itararé x Aracy), CBM 21 (EPAMIG 76-0526 x Desirée) and CBM 24 (DTO 28 x LT 9) families, which were among the highest yielding in Lavras-97 and Alfenas-98, did not repeat the good performance in Lavras-98. The CBM 3 (DTO 28 x Itararé), CBM 5 (DTO 28 x Desirée), CBM 6 (Baraka x LT 7), CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-580) and CBM 16 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-526) families were among the highest yielding in all three experiments. Also, the CBM 6 (Baraka x LT 7) and CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-580) along with CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7) and CBM 24 (DTO 28 x LT 9) families presented a high percentage of large tubers.

Table 1 - Estimates of general combining abilities (GCA) for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, in three experiments carried out in the cool season.

Parents	Tuber yield/plant (g)			Large tubers (%)			Tuber specific gravity (x 10 ⁻³)			Tuber second growth (%)			Tuber cracking (%)			
	Lavras97	Alfenas98	Lavras98	Lavras97	Alfenas98	Lavras98	Lavras97	Alfenas98	Lavras98	Lavras97	Alfenas98	Lavras98	Lavras97	Alfenas98	Lavras98	
Group 1	LT 7	67,150	-61,384	98,828	2,112	4,851	7,199	0,739	-0,118	0,694	-0,223	0,385	-0,002	-0,368	0,061	-0,007
	LT 8	-69,755	23,301	-103,762	-0,068	4,384	-7,702	-0,243	-0,208	-0,081	-0,371	-0,352	0,008	0,217	0,480	-0,001
	LT 9	-137,803	-6,544	-24,507	-0,967	3,132	-5,403	-0,104	-0,276	-1,052	-0,259	-0,328	-0,012	-0,451	0,237	-0,003
	DTO 28	84,492	56,327	30,647	2,896	-2,994	13,042	-0,081	0,531	0,010	-0,251	-0,234	0,001	1,223	-0,283	0,017
	Desireé	-29,549	23,181	-82,687	-5,289	-9,920	-14,012	-0,769	0,008	-0,202	1,059	0,166	0,005	-0,495	-0,345	-0,004
Group 2	Aracy	-28,570	54,964	10,802	-1,171	2,099	-4,019	0,099	-0,026	-0,040	-0,396	0,162	-0,009	-0,595	0,012	-0,013
	Baraka	9,520	26,320	18,408	2,870	-1,771	5,392	-0,412	0,195	0,206	0,162	0,125	0,001	0,034	-0,317	-0,004
	Baronesa	-61,676	-9,510	-30,201	0,205	7,197	-6,334	0,740	-0,192	0,531	-0,279	-0,250	0,007	-0,933	0,266	-0,001
	EP 526	71,118	-3,185	3,868	0,457	-0,616	-2,530	-0,343	-0,180	-0,530	0,095	-0,225	0,001	0,434	0,107	-0,002
	EP 580	58,442	-63,876	58,566	-0,076	-6,790	3,976	0,013	0,345	-0,044	0,512	0,137	0,004	0,277	-0,158	0,012
Itararé	-58,791	-1,742	-84,565	-1,870	1,445	3,529	-0,136	-0,249	-0,094	-0,132	-0,049	-0,001	0,890	0,139	0,009	

**, * Significant at the 1 and 5% level of probability by the teste F, respectively.

^{1/1} Data transformed to $\sqrt{x+1}$

In general, families had low tuber specific gravity means, indicating that tubers are not suitable for processing as fried products. Tubers with a minimum dry matter content of 20.5%, which corresponds to a density of 1.075, are recommended for the potato processing industry in Brazil (Melo, 1999). The CBM 2 (LT 7 x Aracy), CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7), CBM 6 (Baraka x LT 7) and CBM 8 (Baronesa x DTO 28) families were among the highest for tuber specific gravity, in Lavras, but did not show similar performance in Alfenas.

The performances of the thirty best clones in the cool season are shown in Table 3. All clones were superior to the control cultivars Achat and Baraka in tuber yield and tuber specific gravity. The CBM 6 (Baraka x LT 7) and CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-0580) families had the greatest number of high yielding clones (five clones). The LT 7 clone was among the 66.7% superior materials. Some clones were superior in tuber yield and also in tuber specific gravity. The CBM 16.16, CBM 6.25 and CBM 2.16 clones attained yields over 900.0 g per plant, more than 76% of large tubers and tuber specific gravity as high as 1.0880. All clones presented

negligible percentage of tuber physiological disorders (Table 3).

Warm season

The warm season experiments were carried out under higher temperatures, which varied from 17.3°C to 28°C. In Lavras, no below 15°C temperature was recorded during the growing period. In 38% of the time, temperatures were between 15°C and 20°C, in 39% between 20°C and 25°C, and in 23% above 25°C.

Significant differences were detected among families means and among clones for all the assessed traits, indicating the possibility of selecting superior clones. High heritability estimates were obtained at the family level for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers and tuber specific gravity. Partitioning of family sum of squares showed the presence of wide variability, mainly for tuber yield, percentage of tuber cracking, percentage of tuber second growth and tuber specific gravity.

GCA effects for group 1 were significant for all traits in all diallel analyses, while the GCA for group 2 and SCA variance estimates were

Table 2 - Family means for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders in experiments carried out in the cool season.

Families	Tuber yield/plant (g) ¹				Large tubers (%) ¹				Tuber specific gravity ¹				Tuber second growth (%) ¹				Tuber cracking (%) ¹			
	Lav97 ^a	Alf98	Lav98	Mean	Lav97	Alf98	Lav98	Mean	Lav97	Alf98	Lav98	Mean	Lav97	Alf98	Lav98	Mean	Lav97	Alf98	Lav98	Mean
CBM 1	813 _b	862 _b	524 _c	733	82 _a	78 _b	36 _c	65	1,073 _c	1,066 _c	1,074 _c	1,071	1,73 _b	1,01 _a	0,02 _a	0,92	0,95 _c	5,00 _c	0,11 _a	2,02
CBM 2	651 _b	638 _b	647 _b	645	84 _a	54 _b	57 _c	65	1,077 _c	1,065 _c	1,082 _c	1,075	3,04 _b	7,29 _c	0,01 _a	3,45	1,93 _c	0,23 _a	0,01 _a	0,72
CBM 3	829 _a	801 _b	614 _c	748	85 _a	50 _b	71 _c	69	1,071 _c	1,071 _c	1,063 _c	1,068	4,73 _b	0,37 _c	0,00 _a	1,70	33,66 _a	0,00 _b	0,00 _a	11,22
CBM 4	804 _a	607 _b	657 _b	689	92 _a	65 _b	65 _c	74	1,091 _b	1,064 _c	1,079 _c	1,078	1,62 _b	1,76 _c	0,02 _a	1,13	1,00 _b	2,18 _b	0,01 _a	1,06
CBM 5	730 _a	811 _a	598 _b	713	87 _a	55 _b	51 _c	64	1,070 _c	1,070 _c	1,065 _c	1,068	5,16 _b	1,42 _c	0,04 _a	2,21	12,49 _c	0,48 _c	0,02 _a	4,33
CBM 6	789 _a	735 _b	704 _b	743	82 _a	64 _b	64 _c	70	1,078 _b	1,065 _c	1,075 _c	1,072	4,33 _b	6,53 _b	0,07 _a	3,64	3,58 _c	0,49 _c	0,07 _a	1,38
CBM 7	1037 _a	597 _b	810 _c	817	84 _a	69 _b	67 _c	73	1,081 _b	1,069 _c	1,070 _c	1,073	6,24 _b	2,45 _b	0,02 _a	2,90	3,78 _c	0,95 _c	0,01 _a	1,58
CBM 8	760 _b	653 _b	588 _b	667	85 _a	55 _b	53 _c	64	1,077 _c	1,069 _c	1,075 _c	1,074	2,38 _b	2,88 _c	0,02 _a	1,76	0,76 _b	1,02 _b	0,00 _a	0,59
CBM 9	632 _b	753 _b	525 _c	637	87 _a	71 _b	52 _c	70	1,063 _c	1,060 _c	1,064 _c	1,063	3,76 _b	0,93 _c	0,00 _a	1,56	1,99 _b	1,90 _b	0,06 _a	1,32
CBM 10	631 _b	675 _b	483 _c	596	70 _a	47 _b	47 _c	55	1,063 _c	1,063 _c	1,069 _c	1,065	7,70 _b	4,44 _c	0,02 _a	4,05	0,78 _b	0,15 _a	0,02 _a	0,32
CBM 11	811 _b	901 _a	535 _d	749	73 _a	70 _b	63 _c	68	1,078 _b	1,069 _c	1,071 _c	1,073	7,46 _b	0,17 _b	0,01 _a	2,55	3,71 _c	2,27 _c	0,00 _a	1,99
CBM 12	685 _b	570 _b	503 _c	586	82 _a	34 _b	48 _c	55	1,065 _c	1,075 _c	1,061 _c	1,067	12,44 _b	2,28 _c	0,02 _a	4,91	3,10 _b	0,00 _a	0,00 _a	1,03
CBM 13	631 _b	875 _a	587 _b	698	80 _a	62 _b	69 _c	70	1,070 _c	1,077 _c	1,069 _c	1,072	10,42 _b	0,83 _c	0,00 _a	3,75	6,81 _c	0,15 _a	0,00 _a	2,32
CBM14	552 _b	818 _a	330 _c	567	71 _a	58 _b	42 _c	57	1,065 _c	1,064 _c	1,062 _c	1,064	1,93 _b	4,17 _c	0,02 _a	2,04	4,77 _b	0,00 _a	0,00 _a	1,59
CBM 15	572 _b	991 _a	553 _c	705	83 _a	69 _b	70 _c	74	1,071 _c	1,076 _c	1,066 _c	1,071	1,46 _b	1,74 _c	0,01 _a	1,07	14,81 _b	0,26 _a	0,01 _a	5,03
CBM 16	708 _b	635 _b	710 _b	684	77 _a	62 _b	63 _c	64	1,073 _c	1,065 _c	1,070 _c	1,069	2,08 _b	1,48 _c	0,01 _a	1,19	2,47 _b	0,68 _c	0,00 _a	1,05
CBM 17	567 _b	651 _b	356 _c	525	85 _a	72 _b	69 _c	75	1,077 _b	1,063 _c	1,072 _c	1,071	3,20 _b	2,45 _c	0,00 _a	1,88	7,89 _b	2,50 _b	0,05 _a	3,48
CBM 18	633 _b	887 _a	498 _c	673	81 _a	57 _b	75 _c	71	1,072 _c	1,069 _c	1,069 _c	1,070	0,55 _c	0,22 _c	0,00 _a	0,26	7,09 _b	0,00 _a	0,00 _a	2,36
CBM 19	548 _b	659 _b	457 _c	555	86 _a	44 _b	65 _c	65	1,067 _b	1,075 _c	1,065 _c	1,069	4,09 _b	0,14 _c	0,00 _a	1,41	7,35 _c	0,00 _a	0,00 _a	2,45
CBM 20	508 _b	663 _b	588 _b	586	80 _a	59 _b	51 _c	63	1,074 _c	1,064 _c	1,039 _c	1,059	1,64 _b	1,60 _c	0,05 _a	1,10	2,21 _b	1,29 _b	0,04 _a	1,18
CBM 21	896 _a	745 _b	473 _c	704	85 _a	44 _b	35 _c	55	1,064 _c	1,061 _c	1,060 _c	1,062	14,55 _b	2,55 _c	0,02 _a	5,71	7,02 _c	0,25 _a	0,01 _a	2,43
CBM 22	567 _b	695 _b	561 _c	608	77 _a	46 _b	57 _c	60	1,073 _c	1,072 _c	1,069 _c	1,071	6,95 _b	1,57 _c	0,00 _a	2,84	3,79 _b	0,54 _b	0,12 _a	1,48
CBM 23	455 _b	844 _a	371 _c	556	68 _a	67 _b	40 _c	58	1,069 _b	1,065 _c	1,070 _c	1,068	9,26 _b	0,46 _c	0,00 _a	3,24	2,77 _b	0,48 _b	0,00 _a	1,08
CBM 24	704 _b	816 _a	505 _c	675	91 _a	62 _b	73 _c	75	1,075 _b	1,073 _c	1,069 _c	1,072	0,69 _b	0,45 _c	0,00 _a	0,38	12,26 _b	0,30 _b	0,00 _a	4,19
CBM 25	813 _b	743 _b	536 _c	697	85 _a	67 _b	72 _c	75	1,069 _b	1,072 _c	1,062 _c	1,067	2,98 _b	0,76 _c	0,00 _a	1,25	16,85 _b	1,04 _b	0,02 _a	5,97
CBM 26	550 _b	680 _b	455 _c	561	79 _a	57 _b	52 _c	63	1,067 _b	1,057 _c	1,062 _c	1,062	4,34 _b	3,07 _c	0,01 _a	2,47	8,36 _b	1,74 _b	0,04 _a	3,38
Achat	645 _b	583 _b	491 _c	573	78 _a	54 _b	55 _c	62	1,063 _c	1,060 _c	1,064 _c	1,062	0,54 _b	0,18 _c	0,00 _a	0,24	1,11 _c	2,88 _b	0,00 _a	1,33
Baraka	348 _b	746 _a	650 _b	582	76 _a	76 _b	83 _c	78	1,066 _c	1,062 _c	1,068 _c	1,065	0,48 _b	0,51 _c	0,00 _a	0,33	2,13 _c	0,67 _c	0,01 _a	0,92
Grand mean	674	737	547	653	81	59	59	66	1,072	1,067	1,067	1,069	4,49	1,92	0,01	2,14	6,27	0,98	0,02	2,42
CV (%)	26,01	13,51	32,64		8,67	11,61	32,7		0,55	0,30	0,49		30,01	24,71	0,77		30,40	22,75	3,19	

¹ In each column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% probability level (Scott and Knott, 1974)

² Lav97: Lavras 1997; Alf98: Alfenas 1998; Lav98: Lavras 1998.

variable. Unlike the cool season performance, GCA effects prevailed over SCA for all traits, indicating that selection of high GCA parents should be worth to obtain a population for extraction of heat-tolerant clones. However, the SCA effects accounted for at least 30% of the variation among the family means for tuber yield and should not be disregarded. For the other traits, choice of parents is easier because the SCA estimates were lower.

Parents DTO 28, LT 9, Aracy and EPAMIG 76-0526 had significant and positive GCA estimates for tuber yield in one experiment and significant but negative in another (Table 4). The only exception was clone LT 7, which contributed favorably to increase tuber yield in Lavras-98, and showed positive but non significant estimates in the other two. This parent, together with LT 9, DTO 28 and Itararé contributed to increase the percentage of large tubers in their crosses.

Contrary to the cool season, the GCA estimates for tuber specific gravity were more consistent

(Table 4). Parents LT 7, Aracy and Baronesa contributed significantly to increase tuber specific gravity and reduce the percentage of tuber cracking of their hybrids. LT 7 and Aracy contributed further to the reduction in the percentage of tuber second any growth.

The CBM 2 (LT 7 x Aracy), CBM 3 (DTO 28 x Itararé), CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7) and CBM 7 (LT 7 x EPAMIG 76-0580) families presented tuber yield either equal or superior to the control cultivars in all three experiments (Table 5). In general, tuber specific gravity was very low, and the CBM 2 (LT 7 x Aracy) and CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7) families performed better than the others. A very high percentage of tuber physiological disorders was observed at this planting time. Control cultivars Achat and Baraka had a high proportion of tuber cracking and secondary growth in Lavras-98. In the other two experiments, no family was better than the controls. Among the highest yielding, the CBM 1 (LT 8 x Aracy), CBM 2 (LT 7 x Aracy) and

Table 3 - Means for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, of the best cool season clones. Lavras - Mg, jun/1998.

Clones	Tuber yield/ plant (g)	Large tubers (%)	Tuber specific gravity	Tuber second growth (%)	Tuber cracking (%)
CBM 6.19	1666,51	76,57	1,0767	0,03	0,00
CBM 7.16	1383,17	91,02	1,0755	0,00	0,02
CBM 6.26	1373,38	87,15	1,0748	0,00	0,00
CBM 16.16	1369,01	76,59	1,0887	0,00	0,00
CBM 6.25	1350,01	88,38	1,0896	0,00	0,00
CBM 7.27	1206,51	79,30	1,0749	0,00	0,00
CBM 8.3	1177,71	88,02	1,0754	0,00	0,00
CBM 13.7	1153,81	78,62	1,0790	0,00	0,00
CBM 14.16	1073,81	93,18	1,0743	0,00	0,00
CBM 7.12	1051,51	100,00	1,0773	0,00	0,00
CBM 16.4	1030,67	93,10	1,0794	0,03	0,00
CBM 11.26	994,84	70,63	1,0778	0,07	0,00
CBM 11.12	983,81	87,40	1,0744	0,00	0,00
CBM 2.16	963,81	90,55	1,0952	0,00	0,00
CBM 7.5	899,01	96,45	1,0706	0,00	0,00
CBM 17.26	894,63	95,73	1,0775	0,00	0,08
CBM 1.13	871,51	96,26	1,0746	0,00	0,00
CBM 3.16	871,51	91,29	1,0705	0,00	0,50
CBM 4.23	869,01	100,00	1,0836	0,04	0,00
CBM 2.15	839,01	68,23	1,0841	0,00	0,00
CBM 22.7	837,56	86,03	1,0831	0,00	0,00
CBM 7.20	828,18	98,38	1,0741	0,00	0,00
CBM 4.8	824,01	82,25	1,0749	0,00	0,00
CBM 2.6	815,26	96,20	1,0838	0,00	0,00
CBM 23.30	811,51	90,34	1,0772	0,09	0,00
CBM 6.6	805,88	92,02	1,0714	0,00	0,00
CBM 6.4	790,26	90,42	1,0822	0,00	0,00
CBM 17.11	779,01	84,38	1,0914	0,00	0,00
CBM 8.5	771,51	92,17	1,0838	0,00	0,00
CBM 4.12	764,01	100,00	1,0843	0,00	0,00
Achat	490,71	54,63	1,0635	0,00	0,00
Baraka	650,20	82,89	1,0679	0,00	0,01
Overall mean	545,14	57,90	1,0673	1,00	1,00

CBM 4 (Baronesa x LT 7) families had the lowest incidence of tuber cracking.

All selected clones based on the Mulamba and Mok (1978) index had a better performance than cv. Achat, which is very sensitive to high temperatures, and cultivar Baraka, which is a less sensitive cultivar (Table 6). In spite of the very high temperatures recorded during the warm season, tuber yields of some clones were good. Some of them produced a high percentage

of large tubers (Table 6). However, the tuber specific gravity means were very low, showing the difficulties in selecting high yielding clones with adequate specific gravity in the warm season.

Among the highest yielding clones, those with the highest specific gravity were CBM 3.26, CBM 4.23 and CBM 2.9 which performed considerably better than the control cultivars (Table 6). LT 7 was parent of 53.3% of the best clones and DTO 28 in the 36.7% .

Table 4 - Estimates of general combining abilities (GCA) for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, in three experiments carried out in the warm season.

	Tuber yield/plant (g)			Large tubers (%)			Tuber specific gravity (x 10 ⁻³)			Tuber second growth (%) ^{1/}			Tuber cracking (%) ^{1/}		
	Lav 96 ^{2/}	MF 97	Lav 98	Lav 96	MF 97	Lav 98	Lav 96	MF 97	Lav 98	Lav 96	MF 97	Lav 98	Lav 96	MF 97	Lav 98
Parents															
LT 7	14,980	17,840	33,808 **	0,312	1,785	3,563 *	0,670 **	0,388 **	0,314 **	-0,382 **	-0,226 *	-0,306 *	-0,687 **	-0,370	-0,296 **
LT 8	-13,977	29,598	-1,719	-1,116	-0,138	-5,882 *	-0,134	0,059	0,136	-0,192	-0,027	0,183	0,014	-0,116	-0,013
Group 1															
LT 9	-51,120 **	113,500 **	7,777	-3,591	7,007 **	2,658	-0,300 *	0,394 **	-0,127	-0,553 *	0,178	-0,077	-0,211	-0,021	-0,105
DTO 28	40,714 **	-91,655 **	25,463 *	5,784 *	5,826 **	4,432 *	-0,291 *	-0,543 **	-0,297 **	0,042	-0,168	-0,227	1,729 **	1,332 **	1,161 **
Desireé	-14,362	-42,429	-80,719 **	-2,722	-13,654 **	-7,358 **	-0,388 **	-0,378 **	-0,180 **	1,089 **	0,394 **	0,606 **	-0,552	-0,674 *	-0,628 **
Group 2															
Aracy	46,687 **	23,559	-25,193 *	0,229	-0,435	-1,276	0,406 **	0,380 **	0,296 **	-0,616 **	-0,155	-0,143	-0,553 *	-0,652 *	-0,456 **
Baraka	-41,424 *	6,811	10,749	1,756	3,971	4,031	-0,478 **	-0,426 **	-0,364 **	0,382	-0,195	0,114	0,902 *	0,442	0,388 *
Baronesa	-32,934	24,530	8,147	-0,699	0,292	-0,282	0,434 **	0,668 **	0,479 **	0,275	0,193	-0,003	-0,710 *	-0,807 *	-0,636 **
EP 526	22,591	-67,964 *	45,123 **	-7,068	-13,951 **	-2,518	-0,383	-0,643 **	-0,176 *	0,133	-0,098	0,118	-0,783 *	-0,120	0,043
EP 580	-25,120	-31,550	-21,955	-2,966	-0,434	-1,826	0,011	-0,221 **	-0,137 *	0,123	0,158	0,066	0,244	0,267	0,221
Itararé	23,010	47,278	-1,154	9,659	10,847 **	2,905	-0,129	0,189	-0,151 *	-0,132	0,096	-0,125	1,004 **	0,988 **	0,519 **

** , * Significant at the 1 and 5% for the test F, respectively.

^{1/} Data transformed to $\sqrt{x+1}$

^{2/} Lav96: Lavras 1996; MF97: Maria da Fé 1997; Lav98: Lavras 1998.

Table 5 - Family means for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, in three experiments carried out in the warm season.

Families	Tuber yield/plant (g) ^{1/}				Large tubers (%) ^{1/}				Tuber specific gravity ^{1/}				Tuber secondary growth (%) ^{1/}				Tuber cracking (%) ^{1/}			
	Lav96 ^{2/}	MF97	Lav98	Mean	Lav96	MF97	Lav98	Mean	Lav96	MF97	Lav98	Mean	Lav96	MF97	Lav98	Mean	Lav96	MF97	Lav98	Mean
CBM 1	414 ^a	452 ^b	295 ^b	387	58 ^a	54 ^b	42 ^b	52	1.055 ^b	1.058 ^a	1.053 ^a	1.055	11.17 ^c	2.23 ^b	29.14 ^c	14.18	11.85 ^b	0.00 ^f	15.65 ^c	9.17
CBM 2	336 ^a	469 ^b	435 ^a	413	64 ^a	59 ^b	65 ^a	63	1.060 ^a	1.060 ^a	1.057 ^a	1.059	7.84 ^c	1.13 ^b	23.27 ^c	10.75	9.68 ^b	1.37 ^c	17.10 ^c	9.38
CBM 3	448 ^a	367 ^b	416 ^a	410	74 ^a	69 ^b	62 ^a	68	1.046 ^c	1.048 ^d	1.043 ^c	1.046	53.48 ^a	3.59 ^b	16.26 ^c	24.44	16.87 ^b	22.97 ^a	52.51 ^b	30.78
CBM 4	349 ^a	447 ^b	401 ^a	399	60 ^a	55 ^b	59 ^a	58	1.066 ^a	1.063 ^a	1.054 ^a	1.061	9.05 ^c	2.42 ^b	21.54 ^c	11.00	15.16 ^b	1.61 ^c	18.37 ^c	11.71
CBM 5	360 ^a	319 ^c	422 ^a	367	65 ^a	47 ^c	55 ^a	56	1.042 ^c	1.043 ^c	1.039 ^c	1.042	26.28 ^b	2.38 ^b	25.38 ^c	18.01	16.35 ^b	3.75 ^c	42.15 ^c	20.75
CBM 6	311 ^b	249 ^c	334 ^b	298	64 ^a	53 ^b	55 ^a	57	1.056 ^b	1.052 ^b	1.049 ^b	1.052	17.52 ^b	1.51 ^b	26.46 ^c	15.16	25.77 ^a	4.20 ^c	29.36 ^d	19.78
CBM 7	335 ^a	440 ^b	372 ^a	383	58 ^a	49 ^c	57 ^a	54	1.059 ^b	1.053 ^b	1.048 ^b	1.053	10.43 ^c	2.25 ^b	22.35 ^c	11.68	14.88 ^b	2.22 ^c	18.61 ^c	11.90
CBM 8	313 ^b	290 ^c	359 ^a	320	66 ^a	65 ^a	60 ^a	64	1.052 ^b	1.055 ^b	1.056 ^a	1.055	19.60 ^b	3.12 ^b	32.55 ^c	18.42	25.43 ^a	0.72 ^c	14.41 ^c	13.52
CBM 9	207 ^b	666 ^a	422 ^a	432	58 ^a	68 ^a	67 ^a	64	1.041 ^c	1.051 ^c	1.042 ^c	1.045	20.92 ^b	3.86 ^b	25.67 ^c	16.82	12.75 ^b	5.54 ^b	35.39 ^c	17.89
CBM 10	279 ^b	385 ^b	267 ^c	310	66 ^a	50 ^c	51 ^b	56	1.050 ^c	1.051 ^c	1.049 ^b	1.050	15.16 ^c	5.99 ^b	38.10 ^b	19.75	33.53 ^a	4.31 ^c	31.56 ^d	23.13
CBM 11	392 ^a	352 ^c	269 ^c	338	58 ^a	59 ^c	43 ^b	53	1.059 ^b	1.051 ^c	1.042 ^c	1.051	19.29 ^b	5.86 ^b	24.12 ^c	16.42	23.60 ^a	11.99 ^b	43.55 ^c	26.38
CBM 12	344 ^a	307 ^c	243 ^c	298	60 ^a	48 ^c	44 ^b	51	1.046 ^c	1.046 ^d	1.044 ^c	1.045	18.53 ^b	6.88 ^b	40.38 ^b	21.93	30.56 ^a	1.53 ^c	29.22 ^d	20.44
CBM 13	232 ^b	341 ^c	337 ^b	304	58 ^a	71 ^a	51 ^b	60	1.049 ^c	1.047 ^d	1.043 ^c	1.046	23.53 ^b	5.64 ^b	39.14 ^b	22.77	17.46 ^b	18.96 ^a	50.30 ^b	28.91
CBM 14	437 ^a	235 ^c	364 ^a	345	60 ^a	36 ^d	47 ^b	48	1.057 ^b	1.048 ^d	1.045 ^c	1.050	6.79 ^b	5.12 ^b	27.95 ^c	13.29	19.72 ^b	5.86 ^b	40.15 ^c	21.91
CBM 15	268 ^b	258 ^c	431 ^a	319	56 ^c	59 ^c	65 ^a	60	1.048 ^c	1.049 ^d	1.040 ^c	1.044	33.15 ^a	2.20 ^b	14.67 ^c	16.67	4.79 ^b	10.17 ^b	59.54 ^b	24.83
CBM 16	366 ^a	346 ^c	443 ^a	385	49 ^b	48 ^c	54 ^a	50	1.053 ^b	1.049 ^d	1.051 ^b	1.051	5.06 ^c	5.73 ^c	24.92 ^c	11.90	18.84 ^a	0.89 ^c	12.24 ^c	10.66
CBM 17	383 ^a	389 ^b	351 ^b	374	76 ^a	67 ^a	60 ^a	68	1.055 ^b	1.056 ^a	1.045 ^c	1.052	20.57 ^b	1.75 ^b	26.16 ^c	16.16	11.47 ^b	8.36 ^b	39.60 ^c	19.81
CBM 18	411 ^a	304 ^c	344 ^b	353	60 ^a	57 ^c	58 ^a	59	1.054 ^b	1.048 ^d	1.044 ^c	1.049	25.65 ^b	3.12 ^b	19.80 ^c	16.19	11.22 ^b	11.31 ^b	46.70 ^c	23.08
CBM 19	306 ^b	382 ^b	376 ^a	355	62 ^a	59 ^c	55 ^a	59	1.046 ^c	1.048 ^d	1.044 ^c	1.046	27.20 ^b	2.19 ^b	31.40 ^c	20.26	18.62 ^b	7.14 ^b	32.07 ^d	19.28
CBM 20	348 ^a	427 ^b	303 ^b	359	64 ^a	55 ^c	53 ^b	57	1.054 ^b	1.058 ^a	1.048 ^b	1.053	12.07 ^c	3.29 ^b	26.99 ^c	14.12	14.66 ^b	0.67 ^c	19.85 ^c	11.73
CBM 21	359 ^a	262 ^c	307 ^b	310	47 ^b	23 ^c	48 ^b	39	1.045 ^c	1.043 ^c	1.044 ^c	1.044	6.53 ^c	2.28 ^b	30.10 ^c	12.97	26.57 ^a	0.38 ^c	19.17 ^c	15.37
CBM 22	271 ^b	362 ^b	321 ^b	318	49 ^b	60 ^c	54 ^b	54	1.050 ^c	1.055 ^b	1.047 ^c	1.051	15.67 ^c	4.00 ^b	26.72 ^c	15.46	14.43 ^b	7.91 ^b	35.82 ^c	19.39
CBM 23	279 ^b	336 ^c	302 ^b	306	59 ^b	34 ^d	45 ^b	46	1.050 ^c	1.051 ^c	1.046 ^c	1.049	9.42 ^c	7.53 ^a	28.85 ^c	15.27	32.18 ^a	2.72 ^c	23.51 ^d	19.47
CBM 24	326 ^a	331 ^c	437 ^a	364	72 ^a	68 ^a	62 ^a	67	1.047 ^c	1.047 ^d	1.041 ^c	1.045	33.58 ^a	3.52 ^b	12.95 ^c	16.68	7.54 ^b	9.41 ^b	62.65 ^a	26.53
CBM 25	382 ^a	187 ^c	422 ^a	331	70 ^a	41 ^c	55 ^a	55	1.045 ^c	1.039 ^d	1.040 ^c	1.041	26.42 ^b	1.63 ^b	31.72 ^c	19.92	21.82 ^b	17.65 ^a	50.72 ^b	30.06
CBM 26	216 ^b	370 ^b	347 ^b	311	59 ^b	48 ^c	50 ^b	52	1.050 ^c	1.048 ^d	1.047 ^b	1.048	17.06 ^b	4.63 ^a	27.96 ^c	16.55	20.82 ^b	6.37 ^b	40.37 ^c	22.52
Achat	203 ^b	429 ^b	219 ^c	284	33 ^a	40 ^c	0 ^c	24	1.056 ^b	1.048 ^d	1.027 ^c	1.044	8.73 ^c	2.52 ^b	75.00 ^a	28.75	9.36 ^b	0.51 ^c	75.00 ^a	28.29
Baraka	398 ^a	-	338 ^b	368	52 ^b	-	70 ^a	61	1.050 ^c	-	1.033 ^d	1.042	9.56 ^c	-	24.43 ^c	17.00	9.13 ^b	-	55.68 ^b	32.40
Monalisa	-	364 ^b	-	364	-	62 ^c	-	62	-	1.048 ^d	-	1.048	-	0.95 ^b	-	0.95	-	5.49 ^b	-	5.49
Grand mean	331	360	359	350	60	54	55	56	1.051	1.050	1.046	1.049	18.22	3.48	28.36	16.68	17.68	6.13	36.12	19.98
CV (%)	17.32	21.79	38.43		15.41	11.70	38.36		0.37	0.24	0.84		16.41	26.65	45.54		22.65	22.04	37.55	

^{1/} In each column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability (Scott and Knott, 1974).

^{2/} Lav96: Lavras 1996; MF97: Maria da Fé 1997; Lav98: Lavras 1998.

Table 6 - Means for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, of the best warm season clones. Lavras, 1998.

Clones	Tuber yield/ plant (g)	Large tuber (%)	Specific gravity	Tuber second growth (%)	Tuber cracking (%)
CBM 16.15	950,00	67,11	1,0522	11,74	17,14
CBM 15.6	812,50	78,54	1,0495	6,16	12,51
CBM 8.11	806,25	78,97	1,0594	22,11	22,87
CBM 5.26	765,63	84,62	1,0453	11,30	17,79
CBM 24.13	758,34	83,62	1,0442	3,34	36,67
CBM 2.1	750,00	83,55	1,0577	10,90	36,52
CBM 24.6	743,75	81,31	1,0567	5,26	50,00
CBM 13.25	737,50	91,67	1,0530	17,42	55,43
CBM 15.25	703,13	87,14	1,0455	4,76	28,03
CBM 2.20	700,00	80,95	1,0528	2,50	27,50
CBM 2.21	693,75	83,80	1,0665	12,13	13,70
CBM 16.16	675,00	75,19	1,0545	37,78	7,69
CBM 9.18	662,50	95,08	1,0487	6,25	50,00
CBM 7.12	659,38	82,41	1,0562	5,57	3,57
CBM 8.3	643,75	75,05	1,0596	19,57	4,35
CBM 3.26	643,75	68,42	1,0709	5,62	1,62
CBM 16.8	641,67	59,95	1,0573	3,57	2,18
CBM 8.20	609,38	77,65	1,0590	4,00	13,50
CBM 4.28	609,38	75,42	1,0663	22,42	11,55
CBM 4.23	608,34	56,45	1,0679	18,26	0,00
CBM 19.11	606,25	74,43	1,0537	2,78	13,89
CBM 8.27	587,50	81,98	1,0527	22,42	18,42
CBM 17.19	562,50	75,93	1,0523	0,00	18,75
CBM 2.29	556,25	76,20	1,0581	8,34	20,84
CBM 2.19	554,17	83,27	1,0507	11,27	15,61
CBM 2.27	528,13	77,31	1,0559	6,93	13,64
CBM 8.13	509,38	88,13	1,0550	30,62	0,00
CBM 6.21	506,25	77,84	1,0621	3,13	34,38
CBM 2.9	493,75	87,97	1,0676	5,88	11,77
CBM 7.18	465,63	77,52	1,0583	2,78	2,78
Achat	218,75	0,00	1,0269	75,00	75,00
Baraka	337,50	69,55	1,0333	24,43	55,68
Overall mean	358,50	54,55	1,0461	28,36	36,12

Joint analysis

The joint analyses of variance included all four experiments with the clonal families but not the experiments with individual clones. There were significant differences, due to seasons, families and families x seasons interaction. Significant differences among the experimental means were observed (Tables 2 and 5), especially when the cool season and warm season experiments were compared. Generally in the warm season there were reductions in tuber yield, percentage of large tubers and tuber specific gravity, and significant increases in tuber physiological disorders.

The families x seasons interaction showed that the family behavior was not consistent in all seasons, that is, the best families in the cool season were not the best under warm conditions. SCA effects were predominant for tuber yield, while GCA effects were larger for the other traits. The families x seasons interaction was partitioned into three

components, General Combining Ability of Group 1 (GCA 1) x seasons, General Combining Ability of Group 2 (GCA 2) x seasons and SCA x seasons, and most of them were significant. The exception was GCA 2 x seasons for tuber yield, indicating that crosses involving heat-sensitive parents (Group 2) had similar performance for tuber yield in both seasons. The significance of the GCA 1 x seasons component indicated that the heat-tolerant parents which most contributed to the progeny mean for a given trait were not the same in both seasons. These results corroborate with the GCA and SCA individual analyses estimates, which show fairly inconsistency of magnitude among the experiments, even in a single season.

GCA estimates for tuber yield were non significant for all parents (Table 7). Within group 1, parent DTO 28 contributed to the percentile increase of large tubers and their average weight (not shown), but its families presented high tendency for tuber cracking.

Table 7 - Estimates of general combining abilities (GCA) for tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, tuber specific gravity and physiological disorders, in experiments performed in the warm and cool seasons.

Parents	Tuber yield/ plant (g)	Large tubers (%)	Tuber specific gravity ($\times 10^4$)	Tubers second growth (%) ^{1/}	Tuber cracking (%) ^{1/}
Group 1	LT 7	2,2651**	0,4196**	-0,1115	-0,3410**
	LT 8	0,7656	-0,1313	-0,2354*	0,1488
	LT 9	1,3953	-0,0711	-0,2404*	-0,1115
	DTO 28	2,8778**	-0,0960	-0,1526	1,0002**
	Desireé	-7,8961	-0,3815**	0,6768**	-0,5167**
Group 2	Aracy	0,1807	0,2149**	-0,2512**	-0,4472**
	Baraka	1,7064	-0,2803**	0,1184	0,2654**
	Baronesa	1,7488	0,4127**	-0,0152	-0,5462**
	EP 0526	-5,2944**	-0,3872**	-0,0237	-0,0880
	EP 0580	-2,5666*	0,0370	0,2322**	0,1575
	Itararé	5,0206**	-0,0812	-0,0542	0,7551**

* **, * Significant at the 1 and 5% level of probability by the test F, respectively.

^{1/} Data transformed to $\sqrt{x + 1}$

Parent LT 7 contributed significantly to the increase in the percentage of large tubers and tuber specific gravity. It also had the advantage of reducing the percentage of tuber cracking. Cultivar Desirée contributed negatively to tuber specific gravity and percentage of tuber secondary growth (Table 7). Within group 2, cultivars Aracy and Baronesa showed high positive GCA estimates for tuber specific gravity and negative effects for tuber physiological disorders (Table 7). Cultivar Itararé contributed to the increase in the percentage of large tubers but had the disadvantage of increasing tuber cracking.

There was wide variation in the SCA estimates (not shown), and none of the parents had either high or low absolute values for all traits. The families which had positive SCA for tuber specific gravity had negative estimates for tuber yield and percentage of large tubers, showing the difficulty in finding parents which complement each other for all traits.

DISCUSSION

The main environmental factors which restrict potato yield under tropical conditions are water shortage, poor soils and temperature. In conventional cropping, soil correction and water supplementation in the dry season are routine. Therefore, temperature is the only preponderant factor that, in general, is higher than the ideal for the crop both in the warm and dry seasons. In the state of Minas Gerais, where this study was performed, tuber yield of economic crops is twenty percent higher in the cool season than in the warm and dry seasons (Resende et al., 1999). The warm season temperatures recorded in this study were much higher than the commonly found temperatures during economic potato growing in Brazil. The enhanced effect of the heat stress could contribute for the identification of heat-tolerant clones, which could have a better behavior when cultivated under lower temperatures .

The family means make the differences among the cool and warm seasons evident (Tables 2

and 5). Tuber yield in the warm season was about 350 g/plant, which is only 54% of the average in the cool season. Also, there was a 15% reduction in the percentage of large tubers compared with the cool season. Averages for tuber specific gravity in the cool and the warm seasons were 1.0688 and 1.0491, respectively. When viewed under the perspective of tuber dry matter content, this results in a 19.2% to 14.8% reduction. Finally, there was an approximate eight-fold increase in the percentage of tuber cracking and tuber secondary growth in the warm season compared to the cool season. All these results show the importance of selecting heat-tolerant clones for high temperature periods.

Similar effects have been reported by many authors. Sarquís et al., (1996) showed a reduction in tuber yield in two potato cultivars under high temperatures when compared to mild temperatures due to a lower proportion of tubers larger than 3.5 cm long. Menezes et al. (1999) assessed the performance of ten potato genotypes in the cool and warm seasons in Southern Minas Gerais and reported that tuber yield was reduced under heat stress mainly due to a delay in tuber initiation, which reduced the period of tuber bulking, resulting in small tubers.

No trait alone has been an effective indicator of heat tolerance but Tai et al., (1994) considered tuber dry matter content to estimate an index of heat susceptibility. In the present study selection of families and clones was based on an index taking into account tuber yield, percentage of large tubers, specific gravity and incidence of tuber physiological disorders.

Although the cool and warm seasons are highly contrasting planting periods regarding climatic aspects, parents or clones with wide adaptation to these environments could be advantageous, especially considering seed potato production. However, due to the large families x seasons interaction, the best strategy for potato breeding in this region in Brazil would be the selection

within families more adapted to each environment. It should be considered, however, that clone LT 7 was present in more than fifty percent of the selected clones in both seasons and it is the most promising parent to be used for both warm and cool seasons among all others evaluated in this study. No single parent showed high GCA estimates for all traits, indicating that selection for superior hybrid combinations should be based in the complementation of traits in the progeny as well.

Achat (control) is one the most widely grown potato cultivar in Brazil. It was developed in Germany and is fairly resistant to viruses, bacterial wilt and to common scabs. It also has minor resistance to foliar fungal diseases. However, it has low frying quality and is very sensitive to high temperatures. Filgueira (1991) studying the stability of some potato genotypes showed that Achat has a highly unstable and unpredictable performance, representing a high-risk option for the potato grower. Thus, this cultivar should be replaced gradually by more heat tolerant clones to be used in the warm and dry seasons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express appreciation for the financial support provided by CNPq, CAPES and FAPEMIG.

RESUMO

Capacidade de Combinação de Genótipos de Batata para as Estações Frias e Quentes no Brasil.

Este trabalho foi realizado com os objetivos de identificar cultivares de batata com altas capacidades de combinação para tolerância ao calor e avaliar o desempenho de clones de batata obtidos pelo cruzamento entre genótipos tolerantes e sensíveis ao calor. Vinte e seis famílias clonais e mais de 600 clones foram

avaliados em duas safras (primavera-verão: temperaturas altas e inverno: temperaturas amenas) na região sul de Minas Gerais. As temperaturas altas reduziram o número e o peso de tubérculos resultando em decréscimo de 46% na produção. Ocorreu, ainda, aumento de oito vezes na incidência de defeitos fisiológicos dos tubérculos e acentuada redução no peso específico dos tubérculos. As interações da capacidade geral de combinação (GCA) x safras e da capacidade específica de combinação (SCA) x safras foram significativas para a maioria dos caracteres, indicando que os melhores genitores e famílias para cultivo em temperaturas altas não foram os mesmos para as condições de temperaturas amenas. Os melhores clones para a safra de inverno também não foram os melhores na safra de primavera-verão, evidenciando que a melhor estratégia de melhoramento da batata para essa região é a seleção de clones adaptados a cada safra. Entretanto, como essas interações representam o comportamento médio de um grande número de genótipos, foi possível identificar genitores e famílias superiores nas duas condições ambientais.

REFERENCES

- Antunes, F. Z. and Fortes, M. 1981. Exigências climáticas da cultura da batata. Informe Agropecuário. 7: 19-23.
- FAO. 1991. Potato production and consumption in developing countries. 47p. FAO, Rome.
- Federer, W.T. 1956. Augmented (or hoonuiaku) designs. Hawaiian Planters Record, Honolulu. 55:191-208.
- Filgueira, F.A.R. 1991. Interação genótipo x ambiente em batata (*Solanum tuberosum* L. ssp. *Tuberosum*). M.S. Thesis. Faculdade de Ciências Agrônomicas. UNESP. Jaboticabal.
- Camargo Filho, W.P.C. and Mazzei, A.R. 1996. Bataticultura no Mercosul, produção e mercado no Brasil e Argentina. Informações Econômicas. 26: 53-67.
- Flori, J.E. and Resende, G.M. 2000. Produtividade de genótipos de batata inglesa

- tolerantes ao calor em duas épocas de plantio, no Vale do São Francisco. Horticultura Brasileira. 18: 122-125.
- Fontes, P.C.R. and Finger, F.L. 1999. Dormência dos tubérculos, crescimento da parte aérea e tuberização da batateira. Informe Agropecuário. 20: 24-29.
- Levy, D. 1984. Cultivated *Solanum tuberosum* L. as a source for the selection of cultivars adapted to hot climates. Tropical Agriculture. 61:167-170.
- Manrique, L.A.; Bartholomew, D.P., and Ewing, E.E. 1989. Growth and yield performance of several potato clones grown at three elevations in Hawaii: I. Plant morphology. Crop Science. 29: 363-370.
- Melo, P. E. 1999. Cultivares de batata potencialmente úteis para processamento na forma de fritura no Brasil e manejo para obtenção de tubérculos adequados. Informe Agropecuário. 20 (197):112-119.
- Menezes, C.B. de; Pinto, C.A.B.P.; Nurnberg, P.L. and Lambert, E.S. 1999. Avaliação de genótipos de batata (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) nas safras das águas e inverno no sul de Minas Gerais. Ciência e Agrotecnologia. 23: 777-784.
- Mulamba, N.N. and Mock, J.J. 1978. Improvement of yield potential of the method Eto Blanco maize (*Zea mays* L.) population by breeding for plant traits. Egyptian Journal of Genetics and Cytology. 7: 40-51.
- Neves, L.G.; Abreu, F.B. and Leal, N.R. 1998. Melhoramento de batata. II. Seleção de genótipos tolerantes ao calor em gerações avançadas conduzidas em Campos dos Goytacazes, Estado do Rio de Janeiro. n.210. In: Resumos do Congresso Brasileiro de Olericultura, 38th, Petrolina, 1998. Sociedade de Olericultura do Brasil, Brasília.
- Prange, R.K.; Mcrae, K.B.; Midmore, D.J. and Deng, R. 1990. Reduction in potato growth at high temperature: role of photosynthesis and dark respiration. American Potato Journal. 67: 357-369.
- Ramalho, M.A.P.; Ferreira, D.F. and Oliveira, A.C. 2000. Experimentação em Genética e Melhoramento de Plantas. 326p. UFLA, Lavras.
- Resende, L.M.A.; Mascarenhas, M.H.T. and Paiva, B.M. 1999. Aspectos econômicos da produção e comercialização de batata. Informe Agropecuário. 20: 9-19.
- Reynolds, M.P.; Ewing, E.E. and Owens, T.G. 1990. Photosynthesis at high temperature in tuber bearing *Solanum* species. Plant Physiology. 93: 791-797.
- Sarquís, J.I.; Gonzáles, H. and Bernal-Lug, I. 1996. Response of two potato clones (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) to contrasting temperature regimes in the field. American Potato Journal. 73: 285-300.
- Scott, A.J.; and Knott, M. 1974. A cluster analyses method for grouping mean in the analyses of variance. Biometrics. 130: 507-512.
- Sekioka, T.T.; Ito, P.J.; Crozier, J.A. and Tanaka, J.S. 1974. Waimea: A new subtropical adapted potato cultivar. American Potato Journal. 51: 229-232.
- Susnoschi, M.; Costelloe, B.; Lifshitz, Y.; Lee, H.C. and Hoseman, Y. 1987. Arma: a potato cultivar to heat stress. American Potato Journal. 64: 191-196.
- Susnoschi, M.; Costelloe, B.; Lifshitz, Y.; Lee, H.C. and Hoseman, Y. 1988. Nieta: An early maturing, high-yielding potato cultivar adapted to hot weather. American Potato Journal. 65: 277-281.
- Tai, G.C.C.; Levy, D. and Coleman, W.K. 1994. Path analysis of genotype-environment interactions of potatoes exposed to increasing warm-climate constraints. Euphytica. 75: 49-61.
- Van Dam, J.; Kooman, P.L. and Struik, P.C. 1996. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on early growth and final number of tubers in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Potato Research. 39: 51-62.
- Wolf, S.; Olesinski, A. A.; Rudich, J. and Marani, A. 1990. Effect of high temperature on photosynthesis in potatoes. Annals of Botany. 65: 179-185.

Received: September 1, 2000;

Revised: December 6, 2001;

Accepted: December 27, 2000